

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 10:35 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Elk Preference Petition

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Tara Kaiser [<mailto:tkaiser@tie.net>]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 10:33 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Elk Preference Petition

Dear G, F & P Commissioners,

I would like to comment on the landowner elk preference petition. I believe this petition will benefit landowners and non-land owners. Coming from a family of ranchers and farmers I know the struggle to raise cattle every year with the grass and hay provided. So when you add extra wild life such as elk and deer to the situation it makes for just that much more of a struggle. I believe this would benefit the sportsman and landowners with such high population of elk living on own their land on a day to day basis. Thank you for your time.

Tara Kaiser
Administrative Coordinator
Black Hills Special Services Cooperative
Phone: (605)745-3408 Ext. #1312
Fax: (605)745-6574
www.bhssc.org

Working Together to Achieve Goals and Dream!

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This e-mail communication, including any attachments, is intended solely for the use of the designated recipient named above and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:55 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Landowner Elk

From: Rick Hanson [mailto:wapiti_24@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 8:17 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: [EXT] Landowner Elk

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Dear Commissioner's

I am writing you about my concerns for the proposed changes to the landowner elk tag. I am not a landowner but believe that this new proposal will only hinder the elk population in South Dakota. I know from the outside that it would look good to sportsmen, more tag opportunity but in the long run I have a few points that I would like you to consider.

1. First of all, I would like to say without conservationist landowners, we as South Dakotan's lose opportunity because they don't complain about these animals. Which would also help our population of elk grow. Allowing more hunting opportunity on public land. More elk = more opportunity.
2. I for one would like to see these conservationist landowner's that like to see elk, to have the opportunity for this tag. In turn this might slow the development of the Black Hills meadows that these elk feed on. For example, all you have to do is go north or south of Trails Head Lodge in the northern Black Hills to see the development of the meadows. I think this adds value to these meadows so they are not developed.
3. I don't see the difference in landowners, a ranch, a recreational use, or whatever type of owner you want to call it they are all landowners. There should be no distinction between either. As long as these landowners comply with the current guide lines, I don't see why we should change.
4. The landowners that are complaining do they get the depredation payments we as sportsmen pay in preference points? We went from five to ten dollars for a preference point and I would pay twenty dollars if it would help with landowner tolerance, we as sportsman volunteer to give this money for the privilege to get a point and have an opportunity to get an any elk tag in South Dakota. Are these landowners trying to monopolize the elk tags, then later petition for transfer of elk tags?
5. Elk tags are a privilege in SD and I understand that not all landowners are drawing their preferred tag. If this is the case, give the unlucky landowner a preference point for the next year and then a cow tag for year they did not draw.
6. I know this is a proposal for just elk landowners but if you are going to change the requirements for elk I think you should consider change for all species, deer, antelope etc. As I stated before I do not see any reason to change the way land owner tags are given but it only seems fair to change all species if that is the direction you want to go.

Thank you for your time,
Rick Hanson
Spearfish, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:40 PM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] landowner elk preference petition

From: Jeanie Harper [<mailto:jeanieroseh@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 12:11 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] landowner elk preference petition

I would like to comment on this petition

As a sportsmen I believe it is only right we give our landowners every opportunity to a landowner tag if they so want it. Having been a landowner and knowing first hand what destruction just deer and antelope can do to a place I can't imagine what the landowners losses are a year with elk. Quite frankly, it's probably the least that could be done for them. I'm talking about the landowners who make their living on their places and not the ones who just come in buy a few acres to qualify them for landowner preference, pull a tag and live somewhere else the rest of the year. We all know this is not what the landowner tag was created for.

So I ask of you to make the proper corrections and make this a better deal for the landowner. Remember they are the ones who make that place available for everyone to hunt.

Jeanie R Harper---sportsman
Oral, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:38 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Landowner elk preference proposal

Thank you,
Joe Cary | Secretary
South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks
20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532
605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us

-----Original Message-----

From: David Hall [<mailto:muddymoos81@icloud.com>]
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2018 11:19 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Landowner elk preference proposal

To whom it may concern.

Hello commissioners. I wanted to touch on a few concerns I had on the proposal that could change the land owner preference in the Black Hills. I am a land owner that has been lucky enough to be able to get an elk tag. The way it has been set up was a very clear cut way to know if you get it or not. Simple is good. The problem I see is that it could bring a burden on the state to know first if the total 2000 elk use days are really happening. How are they ever going to be able to know for sure. It looks like to me it is going to waste a lot more tax money, investigating these. The other thing with most landowners. Is we can't see our whole ranch at all times. So just what we can see is not all of the elk that are on our property.

I don't really know what the state is trying to accomplish here for sure. Is it they are trying to put more tags in the pool or what. If so it could potentially go the other way. Instead of having a minimum acreage. They are dropping it. Which looks like to me they are quite possibly gaining more landowners. Which means extra work for GFP to investigate.

My suggestion is leave it the same. Have a min acreage of 240 and if they feel better raising the elk days so be it. If the state is working on eliminating some landowner tags. Which is not a big cut out of the pool in the first place. I believe there is a total of 43 landowners. Some of which I believe are lessees. Cut the lessees tags. Land owners are critical for the elk population in the hills. If it wasn't for our bigger parcels of property the elk would have no place to hide. I'm afraid that if this is taken away from us the landowners. Properties may be developed. Making no place for the elk.

I may be speaking out of the box, and don't really know if all landowners feel the same. But I don't expect or want to be paid for elk damages. I've never asked for anything except panels to protect hay yards. I like the elk and I take care of them, however I can. But if the only payback is taken away. That being an elk tag. Then I'm afraid I'm going to have to ask for elk damage payback. If that is in the form of fencing of money to help cattle grazing. Elk are hard on on our property. They wreck much more grass then they eat.

Thank you for reading and I hope you are having a great Easter weekend.

Thank you
David Hall
11481 Brownsville Rd

Lead SD 57754
605-584-1416

Sent from my iPad

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 10:18 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Landowner elk tag preference

From: Bill and Lisa Miller [<mailto:blmiller@gwtc.net>]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 4:39 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Landowner elk tag preference

Dear Game, Fish and Park Commissioners,

The days of buying an elk tag are here. All it takes is to purchase or lease 240 acres to get a landowner elk tag.

As the current requirements for a landowner elk tag are being looked at, I would like to comment on some of the issues I see as a landowner who has been overrun with elk throughout the years.

I have spent countless hours and dollars rebuilding fences and buying feed for my livestock, so part of the agreement with Game, Fish & Parks was to be issued a landowner tag if I met all of the requirements handed down by GF & P. This included a set number of elk use days and a set number of acreage affected by the elk. In essence, it was a landowner depredation tag.

Now it appears this is no longer the case. Now the landowner tag is given to the landowner who owns or leases the minimum number of acres. As a landowner who is attempting to maintain his livelihood by subsisting off his own property, it is very frustrating to see the elk damage and then not draw a tag, as this has happened in the past. Over the years, numerous sportsmen have been allowed onto my property in an attempt to alleviate some of the elk issues, which can be verified through your office.

With the current rules, the people who have chosen to purchase or lease 240 acres of land can skip the sportsman draw and be basically guaranteed a tag, which is not fair to the sportsman or the landowners. The highly sought-after land simply drives up property taxes for those of us who are trying to make a living out here.

Some of the so-called landowner elk tag holders in the past have gotten the tag, closed off their property to the sportsman hunters and turned their land into a sanctuary for the elk, which only hurts the true landowners who are reliant on their land and makes it more difficult for the sportsman to find a place to hunt.

In closing, I would like to commend Game, Fish and Parks for their assistance to me with numerous panels, and partial compensation for building a stack yard. It is much appreciated and has helped immensely with keeping my livestock's feed away from the elk. However, I believe there is still room for improvements in the landowner elk tag policy.

Regards,

Bill Miller

Rancher

Hot Springs SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:38 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] landowner elk tags

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Shirley Kingsbury [<mailto:creativeart717@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2018 10:28 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] landowner elk tags

Commisioners; This change is very complex of what would really take place as far as the change would be. I don't see what advantages there would be to changing the existing rules. Please give this a concideral amount of thought because it will affect quite a few landowners that would not get a chance for a licence. Thank you for your time.

Shirley Kingsbury
Piedmont, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:55 PM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Landowner Preference

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Mike Jarding [<mailto:MikeJarding@GoldenWest.com>]

Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:43 PM

To: GFP Wild Info

Subject: [EXT] Landowner Preference

I do not agree with the current petition on the landowner preference. I would like to see South Dakota Game Fish and Parks make this tag what it is, a "Landowner Conservation Tag". Not a hardship tag, or a depredation tag, or a tag for "experiencing negative impact from wildlife". Times have changed. Some SD residents now buy land to have the privilege to obtain an elk tag if they have enough elk use on it. This is a benefit to both wildlife, agriculture production, and sportsman. It keeps important habitat for wildlife and agriculture land from being subdivided. These landowners are also willing to mitigate impact to the few landowners who do not want elk on their land. Game Fish and Parks has provided monetary support, food plots, elk/deer fencing, etc. to agriculture producers who have "hardships" due to wildlife. "Tolerance" seems to have become a "No Tolerance" no matter what is given to them. Many landowners who have elk use on their land and qualify for a Landowner Conservation tag do not receive any supplies or money from Game Fish and Parks. These landowners who buy land for recreation and agriculture use do a tremendous work and spend thousands of dollars protecting their land and save crucial habitat for the wildlife.

The reason why not all landowners draw the Landowner Conservation Tag is, there is a small minority of landowners who insist on lowering elk numbers which directly affects their tags and tags allocated for other hunters. Not long ago in unit 3-, 400 any elk tags were issued yearly, now we are down to 80 any elk tags because the elk population is so low.

Mike Jarding

Hot Springs SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:51 PM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] LandownerElk Preference

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Gary Woodford [<mailto:gwoodford@custercountysd.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:43 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] LandownerElk Preference

Attn: SD GF&P Commissioners

I am a landowner and a tenant of leased agriculture land used for cattle grazing and have done this for the last 15 years in the Custer area in unit 2. I would like to share my concerns about the petition that has been submitted to SD GF&P Commissioners for the March 2018 meeting which would restrict landowner preference based on number of acres owned and or leased. I feel that what is currently in place is working and should not be changed. My family has owned various properties near Custer in unit two for 100 years that have always been used for raising cattle and hay crops. This should be a factor in being qualified for landowner-operator preference.

There are few landowners with 1000 acres or more in current elk units. So if the minimum was to change are these landowners going to start charging for hunters to access their property. I manage over 500 acres and have allowed hunting on the property with permission. If the present minimum acreage changes to 1000 acres this property would not be available for access.

I would hope that the GF&P Commission gives this petition little consideration as it is not benefitting the smaller land owners in the elk units.

Thank you for your consideration on this issue.

Gerald Woodford

25003 Highway 16/385
Custer, South Dakota 57730

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:38 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Petition for Landowner Elk Tag changes

Thank you,
Joe Cary | Secretary
South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks
20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532
605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Scull [mailto:jscull@scullconst.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2018 11:40 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Petition for Landowner Elk Tag changes

To the GFP Game Commissioners:

I have spoken to some of you commissioner by phone, but didn't get through to all about my concerns with the proposed changes to the qualification of landowner elk tags.

My position is these changes are not in the best interest of Elk Management in the Black Hills. They do not follow the recently completed Elk management plan which was rigorously vetted over a two year period. The system now in place has worked very well and you don't need fix something which is not broke.

I have 320 acres of land west of Hill City in Unit H-2. I have an abundance of elk use days, perhaps in the range of 4000 to 5000 would be my estimate, but it is a little hard to determine when you have this kind of use. Consequently, the proposed petition changes would not effect my receiving an elk tag. However, I do not feel these changes would be in the best interest of elk management the GFP has been practicing for many years with pretty darn good success. Elk numbers are very near the maximum numbers desired based on landowner tolerance and available habitat as I understand it. Landowners, it seems after much contact and conversation, are pleased and tolerant of the elk numbers in all units except in the unit where the petitioner lives, Unit H-3.

The petitioner has requested 4 major changes based on his observation.

***The first is to raise the acreage numbers from 240 acres to 1000 acres or 2000 elk use days.

Where I reside, Unit H-2, which is the largest unit, holds the most elk by far and has the most tags, however the 1000 acre rule in Unit H-2 would leave 1 land owner in the pool unless you have 2000 elk use days. To the best of knowledge there is 1 landowner who has 1000 acres or more. In comparison Unit H-3 has somewhere between 30 to 50 landowners over 1000 acres. No one seems to know exactly at this time but pretty sure it is over 30 landowners.

***The second, Raising elk use days from 500 to 2000 elk days.

It seems the petitioner is proposing this without any limit on amount of land acres. I would like to say it is no doubt possible to have 40 to 100 acres or something less than 240 acres of land with the right food plots and water sources to qualify under this scenario. I don't feel this would be good elk management for the Black Hills. The management plan is working well with the minimum 240 acre rule currently in place. Also, counting 500 elk days in most cases is an estimate at best and fairly easy to estimate by general herd size and local and habitat. It would just seem to me documenting 2000 elk use days would be much more challenging to certify and be effective.

***Third, Concerning living within 60 miles of the ranch.

Data from the GFP records for the last five years shows only one unit has a zip code which shows a landowner tag holder living more than 60 miles away from the unit. The only unit showing this condition is the petitioners unit, Unit H-3. No other unit has a single zip code outside the Black hills units. Unit H-3 shows a total of 10 times in the last five years that this has occurred. Hardly a problem in my eyes.

***Fourth, It is becoming harder for landowners to draw a landowner tag.

Landowners are eligible for 50% of the tags in the pool, however GFP statistics show that only 17% of the total tags for the last two years have been issued to landowners. In the majority of units there is more than enough tags to meet the needs of landowners. Where there is too few landowner tags such as in the northern units, Unit H-9, these landowners collaborate to see that they fairly share the tags from year to year, knowing that it is never more than 1 in 3 years they don't have a tag. This is not a problem for these landowners as they understand elk numbers in this unit are not such that more tags are available.

The only unit with a problem with number of tags for landowners is Unit H-3. This is a challenge for several reasons. First, landowner tolerance for elk has been less and as a result the elk numbers have been reduced according to tolerance and, second, in alignment with this, fewer tags are available for hunting. As a result, where there used to be 50 tags available for landowners, there now appears to be about 40 tags available for landowner preference. Unfortunately, there are 43 to 45 landowners requesting tags in this the last couple of years. This is a success rate of something over 85% each year for landowners. This would appear to be pretty darn good. This shouldn't be a problem particularly if they worked together a little, because their success is like 8 out of every 10 years. In conclusion this is a problem only in Unit H-3 and affects none of the other units in the Black Hills.

In conclusion, the current system is working very well as it is. There appears to be no qualified reasoning to change this system. Perhaps you have to address some landowner challenges in unit H-3 but to realign the entire system to appease the needs of few doesn't seem reasonable.

I urge you to reject this petition for changes.

Jim Scull
Hill City, SD
Cell 390-7080

Sent from my iPad

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Friday, March 09, 2018 7:43 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Potential SD Landowner Elk Tag Allocation Change

From: hunterbjbrown . [<mailto:brown.benjaminj@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2018 6:08 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Potential SD Landowner Elk Tag Allocation Change

Dear SD GFP Commissioners,

I don't support the petition, because I appreciate all the landowners that provide Hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat.

Thanks

Benjamin Brown
Pierre, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Friday, March 09, 2018 7:43 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Potential SD Landowner Elk Tag Allocation Change

From: hunterbjbrown . [<mailto:brown.benjaminj@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2018 6:08 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Potential SD Landowner Elk Tag Allocation Change

Dear SD GFP Commissioners,

I don't support the petition, because I appreciate all the landowners that provide Hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat.

Thanks

Benjamin Brown
Pierre, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:38 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Current Landowner Elk Tag Proposition

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Dalton Hall [<mailto:calfroper2327@gmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2018 8:16 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] Current Landowner Elk Tag Proposition

To whome it may concern,

First off thank you for taking the time to read my email. I am not in favor of the new proposition for a few reasons. I believe it will have an opposite effect on the amount of Landowners who will be applying. Since the minimum amount of acreage has been dropped to, no minimum acreage of privately owned land, then what is to stop literally anyone from applying for the landowner elk tag? Yes of course the applicant will need the 2,000 elk use days but its up to the GF&P to come and prove they didn't have the required amount of elk use days.

The new proposition also states that a landowner is eligible for an elk tag as long as they are within the 60 miles of the property. I think this is a good idea except for the fact it does not exclude out of state landowners. Please see new proposition section 41:06:01:15

- #1 Only a resident of the state may apply for a license;
- #2 EXCEPT for a qualifying landowner-operator applicant;

These are contradicting statements, I think we all know that the GF&P did not want out of staters getting the tag but these statements are allowing them to apply. If the statement read,

- #2 Except for a qualifying landowner/operator applicant but must reside in the state of South Dakota;

I believe this would cover all loopholes out of staters might try to jump through to get the elk tag.

The idea of increasing the Elk use days to 2000 from 500 is a fine idea but if it had a minimum acreage attached to it like 160 or even just leaving it at 240 acres then it would be a great idea. This would eliminate, for example anyone that owns a 5 acre parcel next to town from applying for the landowner tag. Like I said before it's up to the GF&P to prove these landowners wrong, and for the GF&P to prove them wrong they have to go out to the property in question walk around for hours literally looking for elk droppings. As a South Dakota tax payer I truly believe that our tax dollars could be used a little more efficiently than this.

Finally if it is absolutely necessary to try and bring down the amount of Landowners who apply, maybe don't take the opportunity away from the actual landowners pull the landowner status away from the Lesees. Yes, I know this would be an absolute all out fight but it doesn't make sense to punish the actual Landowners. Especially since they are the ones who must deal with not only the wildlife tearing down the fences (I'm not saying the Leases don't deal with this, but generally it's the Lessors responsibility to have the fences taken care of) but also paying the taxes and dealing with the government on any matter regarding the land. The Lesees do not have to deal with this burden, typically.

Thank you for taking the time out of your day to read over this and I would be happy to elaborate on any of the points I brought up if you have any questions whatsoever. Have a blessed Easter Sunday and a great week as well!

Thank You,
Dalton Hall

11507 Brownsville Rd.
Lead SD, 57754
307.756.2805
Calfroper2327@gmail.com

Dear G, F & P Commissioners,

I would like to comment on the landowner elk preference petition.

I believe the intent for the landowner tags has become forgotten. Landowner tags were originally intended to help farmers and ranchers tolerate the elk destruction to fences, crops and grass, which they make their living off of.

Many of these same landowners are now no longer receiving tags due to loopholes in qualifying for these tags. Our petition will not only help the landowners it originally was intended to help, but will also put more tags back into the hands of the sportsman.

I realize this is not a 100% cure all, but I do believe it is a big step in the right direction. I also realize that there will be collateral damage on both sides, but possibly less with this proposal. Adopting this change rather than leaving it as is will help the farmers, ranchers and sportsman alike.

I ask you please don't just put a Band-Aid on it by adding a few more tags. Let's fix the problem so this doesn't come up every year.

Scott G. Phillips Farmer-Rancher
Hot Springs, SD


Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 8:02 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Proposal for Changes for Elk Requirements

From: outlook_CCC59F5F50FCD937@outlook.com [mailto:outlook_CCC59F5F50FCD937@outlook.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2018 9:34 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Cc: brueske@santel.net
Subject: re: [EXT] Proposal for Changes for Elk Requirements

I am a landowner in Prairie Elk unit 15. I am opposing the proposal from Scott Phillips in regards to his new changes for landowners currently eligible for elk tags with 240 acres minimum requirements along with all his other changes in the current policies. I spoke with the game warden who oversees my Prairie unit and he said probably none of the landowners there would even meet the proposed requirements. It would probably close our unit. We have been in this unit for 9 years and haven't heard a complaint or any problems associated with the current regulations and draws. While the rancher or farmer endures some wildlife conflicts and tags are part of compensation for that, landowners who buy land primarily for hunting usually make significant investments in habitat and reduced rent income that results in greater game populations that benefit us all and the landowner tags are beneficial. I fear that this type of thinking regarding the elk, if this proposal is adopted, could spill over to deer, antelope and turkeys. It would have a negative effect, no doubt, on hunter access to some private lands. It would seem foolish to potentially upset a large number of landowners to satisfy a few (or one). I think GFP should survey ALL landowners who have applied for an elk tag in the last several years to see if dissatisfaction runs beyond just a few. It works now, why change it? In closing, we own 320 acres because we wanted to be able to hunt elk on our own property. Should we not meet the requirement for land owner tags, we would not let other elk hunters have the access to our property as we allow at the present time. We feel that we would not be the only ones denying hunting access. I am willing to visit or attend any meetings, if needed, to oppose any changes to the current policy. Craig Brueske (605) 354-2969 Cell

Huron South Dakota



BY: _____ Subject: Reinstate late season west river
antlerless deer hunting

I always participated in the late season west river antlerless deer hunt. So much of the private land is now under lease for pay hunting during the regular deer season that access is limited. Access to private land during January for antlerless deer hunting is better. The proposed option 2 would be a welcomed opportunity again.

Randell Kenner
Piedmont, SD 57769

March 26, 2018


G.F.P. Commission

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposals for the late deer reduction season, now known as the antlerless hunting season.

Of the two options currently being identified for this season, Option 2 would be the best for the reasons listed below.

1. Over the years several older bucks that have already lost their antlers have been shot in this late season, and the later these season dates run, the greater the chance that these bucks will be harvested during the antlerless only season.
2. The later the antlerless season, after the 16-day season, further stresses the deer and can move them out of their chosen cold winter cover areas, causing them to "bunch up", which can cause more depredation problems for some farmers and ranchers.
3. If the hunter surveys are correct, and I have been told this by more than one conservation officer, the average hunter hunts only 4 days out of the normal 16-day season. I would question whether this season is currently needed in many of the counties. If there is a need, however, to have the season at all, option 2 would be the best option.

Sincerely,



Dan Golay
27173 473rd Avenue
Sioux Falls, SD 57108
605-254-3485

Landowner ELK proposal Feedback

SD GF&P commissioners,

The proponents of the changes to qualifications for land owner elk tags seem to believe that the sole purpose for landowner tags is to compensate agricultural users. Perhaps I am wrong, but I do not believe this is the sole purpose to allocate tags to landowners. The GF& P maintains programs to compensate agriculture landowners through Food plot, Hayland, and Protective Stackyards compensation.

I have always believed that landowner tags are available to landowners due to the landowners providing the necessary habitat that maintains the wildlife in this state. Elk do not care what the main source of income is of the landowner when they graze, water and bed on private lands. Therefore, source of income should be irrelevant when issuing land owner tags. The current proposal for a minimum of 1,000 acres to qualify for landowner tags eliminates all but a handful of large ranches. I would argue that 250 acres of well maintained habitat that provides food water and shelter for the elk is more valuable than 1,000 acres that has been grazed by cattle to near bear dirt.

Especially in the Black Hills, private ownership of land tracts less than 1,000 acres that are maintained with wildlife habitat as part of the land management plan, saves these parcels from subdivision and development. Changing the minimum acreage to qualify will greatly reduce the incentive to protect these properties from development.

An additional benefit of landowner tags is to establish a good working relationship between GF&P and private landowners. Issuing landowner tags paves the way for cooperation of the landowner and decreases opportunities for conflict. Landowners who receive tags are more willing to allow others to hunt on their private lands, opening opportunities for other hunters.

Currently, the elk use days requirement is set at 500 per year. This is more of a yes/no decision. Any common-sense person can walk a property and determine if elk use the property on a regular basis, therefore meeting the requirement. If elk use days are set at 2,000, the local game warden is put into a very difficult position and conflict with landowners will be inevitable. What will happen if a game warden tells a landowner he only thinks they get 1500 elk use days a year and do not qualify? Conversely, what will happen if a game warden determines a property receives over 2,000 elk use days, while another property owner in the same unit that did not qualify disagrees with that assessment? Conflicts with landowners will be inevitable.

Those that proposed the changes to landowner qualification appear to be motivated by jealousy and greed. Their proposal eliminates all but themselves and a few other landowners from this program. The current system rewards landowners who provide habitat that sustains the elk herd. Please do not fix what is not broken

Mike Welu, Hill City, SD

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:12 AM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] requested change from Scott Phillips
Attachments: signatures.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thank you,

Joe Cary | *Secretary*

South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks

20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532

605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us



From: Cooper, Christopher [mailto:ccooper3@regionalhealth.org]
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 6:39 PM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: [EXT] requested change from Scott Phillips

Good afternoon,

My name is Chris Cooper and I am a Landowner in the area that is being discussed by Mr. Phillips. Although I was not able to attend the initial meeting I have listened to the recorded session. The conversation brings me to a few comments and questions. If the request is to truly change the landowner tag to ranchers that make their living off of the ranch, let me be very clear. My family operates approximately 390 acres in this area which is NOT enough land to make a living. However I hold down a full time job as well as running 35 head of cows and bulls and cutting and baling our pasture ground and hay fields. I would like for you to rest assured that I work every bit as hard if not harder than Mr. Phillips at making my ranch a success.

I am restricted to the heard size as I do not have the opportunity to obtain government lease land to graze in the summer months. These leases are held by the larger ranchers in the area and are not available to those of us considered by Mr. Phillips as not making a living off of our land. If the comment is true about his property damage (fences) by the elk herd is true then I would suggest rather than make the requested change (that may or may not have any effect on his property damage)he works with the GF & P for a better solution. One that comes to mind would be for the GF & P to approve 10 additional cow tags for sale of which would be only good for his property. This would reduce the heard size which is directly affecting his property. The GF & P have had great programs in the past and present to help landowners ie: aluminum cables for the top wire of fences, hay pen assistance for materials and crop damage funding are three methods that I am aware of.

I manage a small herd of cows and I have property in the area where elk frequent our pastures and hay fields. I know for a fact that I have more damage to my fences and pastures by far from open range cow herds than I do from the elk herd. I don't come asking for help from the forest service or GF & P, I simply work closely with my neighbors and fellow

rancher friends. One of the benefits that I receive for owning land and working at making my (smaller) ranch a success is a landowner elk tag. I intend to purchase more land as I can afford it but not to obtain an elk license.

In my area land is listed for \$3000 to \$4000 dollars an acre. I have never heard or suspected that anyone is buying 250 acres of land for \$750,000 in an effort to obtain an elk license. It could happen but I think it would be a rare occurrence. I think that pursuit of this change will impact the landowner relationship with hunters asking for trespass rights in the future. Also I think there are better solutions to Mr. Phillips elk problems than just changing a few landowner tags, and that would be work with the GF & P and neighbors rather than the elimination of a few property owners.

Whether the requested change affects my landowner elk license rights or not, I hope that the commission put a lot of thought into their decision. This situation stirs up emotions and attitudes from both sides of the situation. The residents of South Dakota, GF & P, Forest Service, landowners, hunters, and yes even the elk will be impacted by the decisions you make.

Thank you for your consideration and time,

Chris Cooper

Ops Manager/Plant Ops



Custer Regional Hospital | 1039 Montgomery ST | Custer, SD 57730
p: 605-673-9412 | c: 605-673-1840 | e: ccooper3@regionalhealth.org



Regional Health is an integrated health care system with the purpose of helping patients and communities live well.

Note: The information contained in this message, including any attachments, may be privileged, confidential, or protected from disclosure under state or federal laws. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the Sender immediately by a "reply to sender only" message and destroy all electronic or paper copies of the communication, including any attachments.

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	CHARLES COOPER	<i>[Signature]</i>	11710 PLEASANT VALLEY RD	LANDOWNER / (BOST)
2	Chris Cooper	<i>[Signature]</i>	CUSTER, SD 57730	
3	" "	" "	11366 Pleasant Valley Rd	Landowner
4			Custer SD	
5	Keith Pullins	<i>[Signature]</i>	1106 Lennon Ln ^{RE}	
6	Steve Truemp	<i>[Signature]</i>		Landowner
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:55 PM
To: GFP Commission Public Comments
Subject: FW: [EXT] Elk Petition Signatures
Attachments: ElkPetitionSignatures3-30-18.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thank you,
Joe Cary | Secretary
South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks
20641 SD Highway 1806 | Fort Pierre, SD 57532
605.223.7683 | Joe.Cary@state.sd.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Brueske [<mailto:brueske@santel.net>]
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:50 PM
To: GFP Wild Info; Jensen, Barry; Jensen, Gary; Peterson, Cathy; Boyd, Mary Anne; Olson, Russell; Sharp, Doug; Phillips, W. Scott
Cc: brueske@santel.net
Subject: [EXT] Elk Petition Signatures

Hello South Dakota Game, Fish & Park Commissioners

This is our petition to oppose the filed petition by Scott Phillips brought to your board of SD Game, Fish and Parks Commission on March 1st, 2018. That proposal wanted to change the land owner elk eligibility laws. We, the undersigned, do hereby acknowledge to keep the current state statutes.

Please find attached 28 pages with 522 signatures and best we can tell there are 75 current landowner elk tag qualifiers that signed the petition, which does not include counting their family members.

Thank you for your time and consideration. We appreciate all that you do for South Dakota.

Craig A Brueske
40264 221st Street
Huron SD 57350
(605) 354-2969

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Kimberly Ridley	Kimberly Ridley	11753 Andetson Rd. ^{St. Onge}	Landowner
2	Ellen Reddick	Ellen Reddick	11611 Reddick Lane. ^{St. Onge}	SD landowner
3	Thane Tetrault	Thane Tetrault	11673 Tetrault Rd. ^{St. Onge}	SD landowner
4	Tim Tetrault	Tim Tetrault	11676 Tetrault Rd. ^{St. Onge}	Landowner
5	Devin Stephens	Devin Stephens	11481 Four Hills Ranch Ln.	Landowner
6	Cliff Jensen	Cliff Jensen	11270 Anderson Rd. ^{Whitewood}	Landowner
7	Karl Jensen	Karl Jensen	19918 Dams Church Rd. ^{Whitewood}	Landowner
8	Corey Alan	Corey Alan	20044 Whitewood Valley Rd	Whitewood Landowner
9	Todd Aldred	Todd Aldred	19855 Whitewood Va Rd.	Hunter Landowner
10	Ray Kinghorn	Ray Kinghorn	19915 St. Onge Rd.	St. Onge Landowner
11	Wanda Ridley	Wanda Ridley	11426 Ridley Rd.	St. Onge landowner
12	Roselyn L. Ridley	Roselyn L. Ridley	11528 Ridley Road	St. Onge Landowner
13	Tee M. Ridley	Tee M. Ridley	11988 Jensen Rd.	Whitewood Landowner
14	Daniel Morris	Daniel Morris	12117 Weisman Rd	Whitewood Lawrence
15	Troy Johnson	Troy Johnson	11395 SD Hwy 34 ^{St. Onge}	LANDOWNER
16	Casey Miller	Casey Miller	10196 W Hwy 14 ^{Spaulding}	Landowner
17	Cris Miller	Cris Miller	10164 W Hwy 14 ^{Spaulding}	Landowner
18	James Miller	James Miller	10164 W Hwy 14 ^{Spaulding}	Landowner
19	Clint Ridley	Clint Ridley	11426 Ridley Rd. ^{St. Onge}	Landowner
20	Lexi Ridley	Lexi Ridley	11426 Ridley Rd. ^{St. Onge}	Landowner
21	Russ Roberts	Russ Roberts	11426 Ridley Rd. ^{St. Onge}	Landowner
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Brian Lintz	Brian Lintz	13965 SD Hwy 36 Hermosa	sports man
2	John Schelske	John Schelske	24219 Marge ct Hermosa	
3	William Brazzel	William Brazzel	14148 Mistler PL Hermosa	Landowner
4	DAVE GARY	Dave Gary	14079 Hwy 36 Hermosa	SD
5	Walter Budka	Walter Budka	13760 G.H. Rd	Hermosa SD Landowner
6	Daryl Varsness	Daryl Varsness	24241 Timber Ridge	Hermosa
7	Jim Daggett	Jim Daggett	4566 Ponderosa Trl	Hermosa SD
8	Hub Hunsicker	Hub Hunsicker	39176 Downview Rd	Fairburn
9	Jim Lintz	Jim Lintz	13913 S.D. Hwy 36	HERMOSA SD.
10	John Ritterger	John Ritterger	25999 Boer Lane	Fairburn S.D.
11	Charles Meiners	Charles Meiners	13998 Box Canyon	Hermosa, SD
12	Lyle Hartshorn	Lyle Hartshorn	9686 S Hylle	Rapid City S.D.
13	Lew Papendick	Lew Papendick	8581 Dreamscape Rd	Both
14	Harold Bies	Harold Bies	Rapid City, SD	BOTH
15	Travis Bies	Travis Bies	Fairburn SD	Both
16	Andrew Scull	Andrew Scull	Rapid City SD 5702	BOTH
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Rich J January	[Signature]	21371 396th Huron	Both
2	Spencer White	[Signature]	1320 Riverside Dr. Huron	Sportsman
3	Trent I. Foss	[Signature]	407 E 7th St	Both
4	Russell Redbreathy	Russell Redbreathy	40065 229th St	Both
5	Garret Foss	[Signature]	3024 E Main St. Wauson	Sportsman
6	Gerrard Downing	[Signature]	404 S 2nd Ave Wauson	Both
7	Clifford John	[Signature]	Box 354 Wauson	Both
8	Mark Snedeker	Mark Snedeker	22870 401 AVE Wauson SD	Both
9	DALE SIMONS	[Signature]	207 N. MAPLE ST LAUREL	BOTH
10	Corey Odie	[Signature]	12346 US Hwy 212 Hildreth	Sportsman
11	Bob Scivis	[Signature]	10271 Shivers Ln Belle	Both
12	Nathan Sparger	[Signature]	526 E Hanks St Spearfish	Sportsman
13	John Swanson	JOHN E SWANSON	10459 UPPER KRONATA RD S PEARSKILL LAND OWNER	
14	Amin Finkbeiner	Amin Finkbeiner	10521 Binder Ln Belle Fourche	BOTH
15	Nina Finkbeiner	Nina Finkbeiner	10521 Binder Ln "	Both
16	Chris Kling	Chris Kling	19038 Krazy Rd	Land owner
17	Jeff Coyle	Jeff Coyle	708 Harding St	Sportsman
18	Mike Lesmeister	Mike Lesmeister	19345 Upper Redwater Rd	Landowner
19	Bob Ferrell	Robert X Ferrell	10233 Upper Redwater Rd	Sportsman/Landowner
20	Janet Ferrell	Janet X Ferrell	10233 Upper Redwater Rd	Landowner
21	Wes Thompson	Wes Thompson	10216 Upper Redwater Rd	Concerned
22	Brent Riley	Brent Riley	10091 Bunk Rd Spearfish	Landowner
23	Lucille Ruby	Lucille Ruby	1102 6th Ave Belle Fourche	Landowner
24	Art Healy	[Signature]	10151 SD Hwy 34 Belle	Landowner
25	Dorothy Lesmeister	Dorothy Lesmeister	10016 SD Hwy 34	Belle landowner
26	Wayne Lesmeister	Wayne Lesmeister	19065 Redwood Rd	Belle Fourche SD
27	Kathleen Eastman	Kathleen Eastman	19009 APR Rd	Belle Fourche SD
28	Gary O Binder	[Signature]	1606 Branding Iron	Spanish Land Owner
29	Stewart Hagman	[Signature]	2011 Branding Iron	Spanish/Sportsman
30	DALE Ruby	Dale G Ruby	1102 6th AV / Belle	Landowner
31	Herb Hebert	[Signature]	1305 Ash str. Whitewood	Sportsman
32	Kevin Paradise	Kevin Paradise	809 Yuma St	Landowner
33	Bill Mason	Bill Mason	400 2nd Ave	Landowner/sportsman
34	Charles Dullinger	[Signature]	1721 11th Ave	Sportsman
35	Chance Bauer	Chance Bauer	823 Custer Street	Sportsman
36	Ernest Dibble	Ernest Dibble	2027 11th Ave	Sportsman
37	Jared Kuntzaker	[Signature]	1703 Br Aze Belle Fourche	Sportsman
38	Martin Bonato	Martin Bonato	19137 BCR Rd	Belle Fourche SD
39	Mrs Brian McPhail	Mrs Brian McPhail	10415 Windmill Rd Ln Belle Fourche SD	
40	[Signature]	[Signature]	18997 Riverside Lane 13-42 Forestburg	
41	Jeff Poyer	[Signature]	104 E 7th St Wauson	Sportsman
42	Cara Poyer	[Signature]	104 E 7th St Wauson	Sportsman
43	Trudy Larsen	[Signature]	40320 SD Hwy 34 Forestburg	Sportsman
44	William A Cahill	[Signature]	925 Parkside Dr. Huron	Landowner
45	Tr of Stulken	[Signature]	833 Cherry Dr Pierre	Sportsman
46	Kari Stulken	[Signature]	833 Cherry Dr Pierre	Sportsman

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	MARGARET A. GUKESIN	Margaret A. Gukiesin	11530 Gillette Pk. Hill City	Landowner
2	Mary Hill Alexander	Mary Hill Alexander	231806 Backfield	Landowner
3	Vance Alexander	Vance Alexander	23202 S. Roseford Rd	Sportsman
4	Adam Coy	Adam Coy	23444 Gray rd	Land Owner
5	Kacie J. Moller	Kacie J. Moller	11515 Slate Prairie Rd	Land owner
6	Jason Alexander	Jason Alexander	24144 Deadbroke St.	Land Owner
7	Steve Kaubisch	Steve Kaubisch	23330 Mystic Rd	Land owner
8	Danella A. Fink	Danella A. Fink	23045 Mystic Rd	Land owner
9	MR Kaubisch	MR Kaubisch	23330 Mystic Rd	Land Owner
10	Romina Hobart	R. Hobart	11475 Gillette Prairie	Landowner
11	George Clinton	G. J. Clinton	PO Box 1240 Hill City	Landowner
12	Steve Hobart	Steve Hobart	11475 GPR, Hill city	Landowner Sportsman
13	Lobbie McVey	Lobbie McVey	11511 Slate Prairie Rd	Landowner
14	Shawn McVey	Shawn McVey	11511 Slate Prairie Rd	Landowner
15	Tammy Alexander	Tammy Alexander	11586 Gillette Prairie	Sportsman
16	Nathan Alexander	Nathan Alexander	11596 Gillette Prairie Rd	Landowner
17	Derek Alexander	Derek Alexander	11592 Gillette Pr. Rd.	Landowner
18	Jim Scott	Jim Scott	SUPRIMAC CANYON RD RC	Landowner
19	Matt "Rip" Rippentrop	Matt Rippentrop	Hot Springs, SD	Landowner
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				



In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 3,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change. We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Dana Birlort	Dana Birlort	17323 482nd Ave Clear Lake SD	Land owner
2	Eugene Lopez	Eugene Lopez	17861 482nd Ave Clear Lake SD	Land owner
3	Hilary M Adolph	Hilary M Adolph	48234 172nd St Clear Lake SD	Land Owner
4	Terry Krause	Terry Krause	17271 489A Ave Clear Lake SD	Land Owner
5	Kathleen Krause	Kathleen Krause	17271 480A Ave Clear Lake SD	Land Owner
6	Tiffany Bindert	Tiffany Bindert	17823 482nd Ave Clear Lake SD	Sportsman
7	Michael Hunt	Michael Hunt	17927 484th Ave Gary SD	Landowner
8	Mark Bekkaert	Mark Bekkaert	48695 172nd St Gary SD	Landowner
9	Will Krause	Will Krause	17323 481st Ave Gary SD	Landowner
10	Matt Spidle	Matt Spidle	18154 478A Ave Clear Lake SD	Land Owner
11	Shelly Spidle	Shelly Spidle	18154 478A Ave Clear Lake SD	Landowner
12	Brodie Deites	Brodie Deites	47441 174th St Clear Lake SD 57226	Both
13	Stacy Knutson	Stacy Knutson	17205 S.A HWY 15 Clear Lake SD 57226	Both
14	Matthew Thomas	Matthew Thomas	17615 482nd Ave Gary SD	Land owner
15	Robert Mankat	Robert Mankat	702 Prospect Ave Clear Lake SD 57226	Sportsman
16	Wayne Meyer	Wayne Meyer	16557 480th Ave Reville SD 57257	Landowner
17	Amarchamyer	Amarchamyer	207 Saint Mary St W Wyo SD	Sportsman
18	Josh Nielsen	Josh Nielsen	311 2nd Ave S Clear Lake SD	Sportsman
19	Jason Meyer	Jason Meyer	207 Saint Mary St W Wyo SD 57201	Sportsman
20	Misty Bekkaert	Misty Bekkaert	48645 172nd St Gary SD 57277	Landowner
21	Kay Nielsen	Kay Nielsen	311 2nd Ave S Clear Lake SD 57226	Sportsman
22	Adam Lauseng	Adam Lauseng	509 7th Ave S Clear Lake SD 57226	Sportsman
23	Danyal Hara	Danyal Hara	315 9th Ave S Clear Lake SD 57226	Sportswoman
24	Kevin Stuckert	Kevin Stuckert	47563 165th La Bort SD	Sportsman
25	Brandon Adolyn	Brandon Adolyn	47101 158th St Stockholm SD	Sportsman
26	Wayne Erickson	Wayne Erickson	201 S Dillman Ave Reville SD	Sportsman
27	Chris Schmiedt	Chris Schmiedt	208 E Axel Ave Strandburg SD	Sportsman
28	Brian Dallas	Brian Dallas	405 N 2nd Ave Reville SD	Sportsman
29	Chris Silster	Chris Silster	47784 165th St Strandburg SD	Sportsman
30	Kurt Adolph	Kurt Adolph	21791 158th St Stockholm SD	Sportsman
31	Carol Rossow	Carol Rossow	48250 173rd St Clear Lake SD	Land owner
32	Tim Rossow	Tim Rossow	48250 173rd St Clear Lake SD	Landowner
33	Karen Binder	Karen Binder	48282 174th St Clear Lake SD	Landowner
34	Norman Binder	Norman Binder	48282 174th St Clear Lake SD	Landowner
35	Barry Sanders	Barry Sanders	48458 174th St Gary SD	Landowner
36	Eldon Peterson	Eldon Peterson	16434 477th Ave Reville SD	Land owner
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility to 270,000 elk use days, and to have 270,000 live 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change. We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240,000 and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/
1	Dustin Linstrom	Dustin Linstrom	12207 Reno Girth Rd	Both
2	Cheyenne Griffin	Cheyenne Griffin	12207 Reno Girth Rd	Both
3	RICHARD LINSTROM	Richard Linstrom	24272 MED. Mtn. Rd	Both
4	Kyle Alexander	Kyle Alexander	12351 Deerfield rd	both
5	Taryn Alexander	Taryn Alexander	12351 Deerfield rd	both
6	Rodney Linstrom	Rodney Linstrom	12179 Reno Girth Rd	both
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Darrell Nicholas	Darrell Nicholas	Spearfish	Landowner
2	Toonie Nicholas	Toonie Nicholas	Spearfish	Landowner
3	Bryan Nicholas	Bryan Nicholas	Spearfish	Landowner
4	Bill Nicholas	Bill Nicholas	Spearfish	Landowner
5	Cameron Larson	[Signature]	Spearfish	Landowner
6	Charles Nicholas	Charles Nicholas	Spearfish SD	Landowner
7	Sallie Nicholas	Sallie Nicholas	Spearfish, SD	Landowner
8	Trayven Timm	Trayven Timm	Spearfish, SD	Sportsman
9	Calvin Stanley	Calvin Stanley	Spearfish, SD	Sportsman
10	ROBERT Ewins	[Signature]	Spearfish, SD	Landowner
11	David Ondahl	[Signature]	Spearfish, SD	Sportsman
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/10 regarding the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Tom Link	[Signature]	12235 Mayo Center	Sportsman
2	Amy Pate	[Signature]	12233 Mayo Rd	Sportsman
3	[Signature]	Kevin Rejcek	24970 Eggers Rd	Landowner
4	Dave Busskohl	[Signature]	24785 Sylvan Lake Rd	Landowner
5	Brian Palmerheim	[Signature]	17239 Weaver Dr	Sportsman
6	Bill Busskohl	[Signature]	24947 Sylvan Lake	Landowner
7	Mitch Paulsen	[Signature]	2408 mt mt Rd	Landowner
8	Mitch Paulsen	[Signature]	24608 Mt Mt Rd	Landowner
9	Craig Reival	[Signature]	12038 [unclear] Rd	Landowner
10	Terry Meyer	[Signature]	Box 22 Pringle	Sportsman
11				
12				
13				
14				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 1/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Joseph Wood	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. Box 166, Pringle	Landowner
2	JAMES WILST	<i>[Signature]</i>	P.O. Box 116, Pringle	<i>[Signature]</i>
3	Devin Moberg	<i>[Signature]</i>	25370 Pilot Rd	560-12047
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 1/17/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 3,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	DON MASSA	<i>[Signature]</i>	EDGEMONT, SD	LANDOWNER & SPORTSMAN
2	Lance Heuback	<i>[Signature]</i>	Hot Springs SD	Landowner/Sportsman
3	Scott Lauther	<i>[Signature]</i>	Rapid City, SD	Sportsman
4	Mike Lunde	<i>[Signature]</i>	CUSTER	Landowner/Sportsman
5	Charlie Spring	S. Spring	Custer	landowner/sportsman
6	Stacy Reetz	<i>[Signature]</i>	Hot Springs SD	Sportsman
7	Guy W. Friedrich	<i>[Signature]</i>	Buffalo SD	Landowner
8	Theresa Matteson	<i>[Signature]</i>	Edgemont SD	landowner/sportsman
9	Randy Skow	<i>[Signature]</i>	Edgemont SD	Landowner
10	Brian Tarnad	<i>[Signature]</i>	Edwards SD	Landowner
11	Rebecca Massa	Rebecca Massa	Edgemont, SD	Landowner/Sportsman
12	Thomas Massa	Thomas Massa	Edgemont, SD	Landowner/Sportsman
13	Carl Massa	Carl Massa	Edgemont, SD	Landowner/Sportsman
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	John Gisi	[Signature]	CLUSTER	LANDOWNER
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Robert Swan	<i>RS</i>	1411 N 7th St / RC, SD	Sportsman
2	Jana Decker	<i>Jana Decker</i>	4012 Pleasant Dr	Sportswoman
3	Clancy Kingsbury	<i>Clancy Kingsbury</i>	1015 Clark St, RC, SD	Sportsman
4	Mark Blotz	<i>Mark Blotz</i>	701 Main St / RLSN	Sportsman
5	Liz Morgan	<i>Liz Morgan</i>	455 South 11th St / RLSN	Sportswoman
6	Monke Ealy	<i>Monke Ealy</i>	509 Elmer Street RLSN	Sportsman
7	WALT SWAN	<i>WALT SWAN</i>	1411 N. 7th St RLSN	Sportsman
8	Lena Swan	<i>Lena Swan</i>	" " "	Sportswoman
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Brent Phillips	Brent Phillips	Rapid City SD	Land Owner
2	Beela K Phillips	Phillips	RAPID CITY, SD	LAND OWNER
3	RUSSELL PHILLIPS	Russell Phillips	Rapid City SD	Land Owner
4	Steve Phillips	Phillips	GRAND FORKS, ND	LAND OWNER
5	Judy Tronki	Judy Tronki	HERMOSA, SD	SPORTSMAN
6	MICHAEL DIEDRICH	Michael Diedrich	RAPID CITY, SD	SPORTSMAN
7	Bob/Robert L McIntosh	Bob/Robert L McIntosh	Rapid City, SD	Sportsman
8	Jared Norlock	Jared Norlock	Rapid City, SD	Sportsman
9	ROBIN ZEBROSKU	Robin ZebroSKU	STURGIS, SD	sportsman/landowner
10	mary master	Mary Master	Rapid City, SD	land owner
11	Demise Palmer	Demise Palmer	Rapid City, SD	land owner
12	Paula M E Lorraine	Paula M E Lorraine	Rapid City, SD	Land Owner
13	Paula Mahagan	Paula Mahagan	Keystone, SD	Land Owner
14	WESTLEY DAXON	Westley Daxon	know city, SD	Sportsman
15	MARYL DAXON	Maryl Daxon	Rapid City, SD	Sportsman
16	Ron Amodeo	Ron Amodeo	Rapid City SD	Sportsman
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	JAY EIDSNESS	<i>[Signature]</i>	47396 279th St. Worthington, S.D.	LANDOWNER
2	PAUL EIDSNESS	<i>[Signature]</i>	47164 MAJESTIC DR	LANDOWNER
3	ROBERT J HILL	<i>[Signature]</i>	SE. SD 52105	LANDOWNER
4	DANIEL HILL	<i>[Signature]</i>	SIoux Falls S.D.	LANDOWNER
5	JACK MILLER	<i>[Signature]</i>	1604 W. THURGOOD ST	SPORTSMAN
6	ROB HUTCHINSON	<i>[Signature]</i>	3000 S Lake Ave. S.F.S.D.	SPORTSMAN
7	DANN HILL	<i>[Signature]</i>	26552 N. Shore Place Hurtford, SD	LANDOWNER
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	WILL R EIDOSNESS	WRE 2858	254 PIONEER RD Yankton	LANDOWNER
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Kenneth Krieh	Kenneth Krieh	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
2	Brenda Reich	Brenda Reich	Bath SD	Sportsman
3	Loni Webb	Loni Webb	Groton SD	sportsman
4	Brian Farmer	Brian Farmer	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
5		Mantz	minn SD	Sportsman
6	Michelle Pauli	MP	Columbia, SD	Both
7	Brooke Higgins	Brooke Higgins	Leola, SD	Sportsman/Landowner
8	Deann Bauer	Deann Bauer	Meccutt SD	Sportsman
9	Lindsey Stucke	Lindsey Stucke	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
10	Kristen D'brech	Kristen D'brech	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
11	Jimmy Pitzl	Jimmy Pitzl	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
12	Tom Cox	Tom Cox	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
13	Lory Bretsch	Lory Bretsch	Aberdeen SD	Landowner
14	Keith Ryan	Keith Ryan	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
15	Dwane VanBak	Dwane VanBak	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
16	Jennifer Klein	Jennifer Klein	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
17	Marla Weig	Marla Weig	Leola SD	sportsman/landowner
18	ROBERT WERTH	Robert Werth	Aberdeen SD	both
19	Kristi Ecklund	Kristi Ecklund	Groton, SD	sportsman
20	Cody Larson	Cody Larson	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
21	Dario Tracht	Dario Tracht	Aberdeen, SD	Sportsman
22	Shane Bettman	Shane Bettman	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
23	Morgan Schuring	Morgan Schuring	claremont SD	sportsman
24	Mecher Busham	Mecher Busham	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
25	Sharon Beck	Sharon Beck	Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
26	JAMES MACDOUGALL	James MacDougall	Aberdeen SD	landowner
27	M. R. Seidre	M. R. Seidre	Hot Spring S SD	landowner
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Kade Wehl	Mark Wehl	135 S. 5th, Spearfish	Sportsman
2	Don Brady		19337 Brady Ln. Spearfish	Landowner
3	JASON Woolston	Jason Woolston	573 Aspen Dr. Spearfish	SPORTSMAN
4	Christina Martz	Christina Martz	1247 W Woodland Dr. Spearfish	sports person
5	Stephen Rochar	Stephen Rochar	1009 Sparsun Dr.	Sportsman
6	Brian Baltowski	Brian Baltowski	1340 N. 5th, Spearfish	Sportsman
7	Brian Muncher	Brian Muncher	1712 County Sch. Pl.	Sportsman
8	Elizabeth Leininger	Elizabeth Leininger	132 S. 5th St. Spearfish	SPORTSMAN
9	Coey Johnson	Coey Johnson	203 Union St Spearfish	Sportsman
10	Will McElroy	Will McElroy	194 Pine Lane 57789	Sportsman
11	Kevin Rhoades	Kevin Rhoades	20322 Creek View Loop	Sportsman
12	Loren Garcia	Loren Garcia	1839 Iron Horse Loop	Sportsman
13	Russ Link	Russ Link	5543 Eagle Ridge Rd, Spearfish	Sportsman
14	Todd Otterberg	Todd Otterberg	1106 N 8th St	Spearfish
15	Gene Tetrault	Gene Tetrault	19517 Anchor Rd	Stange, SD Sportsman
16	Randy Pitzer	Randy Pitzer	1416 Gateway Ct	Spearfish SD
17	Tim Ransom	Tim Ransom	2514 Chaledony Sp	Sportsman
18	Kevin Kirk	Kevin Kirk	104 Clark Lane Spearfish	Sportsman
19	Lacey Kirk	Lacey Kirk	104 Clark Lane	Sportsman
20	Zachary Burke	Zachary Burke	11097 Wagon Box	Sportsman
21	Jeremy Hoven	Jeremy Hoven	718 S 33rd St Spearfish	Sportsman
22	Tawna Hoven	Tawna Hoven	718 S. 33rd St Spearfish	sportsman
23	Terad Crandall	Terad Crandall	2911 Benjamin St	Sportsman
24	Todd Jensen	Todd Jensen	501 Custer St Spearfish	Sportsman
25	David Doraat	David Doraat	115 N 7th St Spearfish	Sportsman
26	Dan O'Shea	Dan O'Shea	2219 Bluebell Loop Spearfish	Sportsman
27	Tim Penton	Tim Penton	2514 Chaledony Sp	Spearfish
28	Teddy Manna	Teddy Manna	270 West Grand	Spearfish SD 57783
29	Len Jensen	Len Jensen	202 Washington St	Spearfish SD 57783
30	Everett Surgeson	Everett Surgeson	187 Lookout Mtn Rd	Spearfish SD 57783
31	Don Green	Don Green	1412 Gateway Court	Spearfish SD 57783
32	Shanda	Shanda	2417 Windmill Dr	Spearfish SD 57783
33	NIEL SEDOLSKI	NIEL SEDOLSKI	2300 Canyon St	SPEARFISH, SD
34	MARK HARDING	MARK HARDING	554 PINE TOP	Wade Sportsman
35	Blayne Pammel	Blayne Pammel	227 W. Ohio - Spearfish	Sportsman
36	JAMES MORTENSON	JAMES MORTENSON	3778 WARD AVE Spearfish	SPORTSMAN
37	TAD BREASER	TAD BREASER	19978 Gobbler Rd Spearfish	Sportsman
38	Jason Richards	Jason Richards	11620 Baby Shoes Ln. Spearfish	landowner
39	ELIZABETH STEWART	Elizabeth Stewart	1341 N Canyon Spearfish	SD 57783 SPORTSMAN
40	Fina Grable	Fina Grable	107 Herandora Spearfish	Sportsman
41	Suzanne Crane	Suzanne Crane	1808 Rain Drop Sp	Sportsman
42	Pattie Barnett	Pattie Barnett	620 Frontal Sp	Sportsman
43	Kari Ebers	Kari Ebers	705 E Federal Sp	Sportsman
44	Lutha Bice	Lutha Bice	172 W Pine St	Spearfish
45	John Karsa	John Karsa	2617 Howard	Sportsman
46	Shelby Clark	Shelby Clark	131 Washington	Sportswoman

in response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change. We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Cynthia L. Fozzell	Cynthia L. Fozzell	716 San Marcos Blvd RC	Landowner
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Richard Hanson	Richard Hanson	Spearfish, SD	Sportsman
2	B.H. Peterson	B.H. Peterson	Spearfish SD	Sportsman
3	BILL FOSTER	Bill Foster	Spearfish SD	Sportsman
4	Jesse Rostad	Jesse Rostad	Deerwood SD	Sportsman
5	Troy Nicholas	Troy Nicholas	Spearfish, SD	Sportsman
6	Karen Nicholas	Karen Nicholas	Spearfish SD	Sportsman
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Dorelle F. S. S.	<i>[Signature]</i>	38546 129th St Aberdeen SD 57411	Sportsman
2	Carla Marken	<i>[Signature]</i>	316 11th St Aberdeen SD 57401	Sportsman
3	Brad Orr	<i>[Signature]</i>	207 S. Jackson St Aberdeen	Sportsman
4	Nick Dekker	<i>[Signature]</i>	2907 Westview Dr. Aberdeen	Sportsman/Landowner
5	Derrick Hader	<i>[Signature]</i>	514 S. Jackson St Aberdeen	Sportsman
6	Kelly Brennan	<i>[Signature]</i>	1231 Popple Ave	Sportsman
7	Brad Orr	<i>[Signature]</i>	207 S. Jackson St	Sportsman
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Tom Schuster	<i>Tom Schuster</i>	13591 Spruce Lane Bath	Sportsman
2	David Manke	<i>David Manke</i>	1904 Pasque Parkway	Sportsman
3	Derek Lindeberg	<i>Derek Lindeberg</i>	31525 Mulford View Dr Bath	Sportsman
4	Gael Johnson	<i>Gael Johnson</i>	30714 123 RAST WEST ST	Landowner/Sportsman
5	Ricki Link	<i>Ricki Link</i>	1224 W. Penn St Aberdeen	Sportsman
6	Nikki Loeb	<i>Nikki Loeb</i>	816 4th Ave SW Aberdeen	Sportsman
7	Mark Lallo	<i>Mark Lallo</i>	104 S. MAIN ST ABERDEEN	SPORTS STU
8	Jacob Miller	<i>Jacob Miller</i>	39513 Mallard View Dr. Bath SD	Sportsman
9	Brock Ball	<i>Brock Ball</i>	13591 Spruce Lane Bath SD	Sportsman
10	Rosalie Ball	<i>Rosalie Ball</i>	13570 Spruce Lane Bath SD	Sportsman
11	Derek Beck	<i>Derek Beck</i>	405 S Dakota St	Sportsman
12	Michele Snyder	<i>Michele Snyder</i>	13232 Park Road Bath SD	Sportsman
13	Jennifer Dallmann	<i>Jennifer Dallmann</i>	1306 Birchwood Ln. Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
14	Ashley Landis	<i>Ashley Landis</i>	1601 Cedar Drive Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
15	Erica Kampa	<i>Erica Kampa</i>	316 17th Ave SE Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
16	Jamie Woenhoff	<i>Jamie Woenhoff</i>	1123 8th Ave SW Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
17	Amanda Henz	<i>Amanda Henz</i>	13300 378th Ave Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
18	Dani Rodoll	<i>Dani Rodoll</i>	11954 31st Ave W Rapid SD	Sportsman
19	Julie Swanson	<i>Julie Swanson</i>	12954 Pennwood Dr Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
20	Jessica Winterover	<i>Jessica Winterover</i>	39525 Mallard View Dr, Bath SD	Sportsman
21	Gary Suedmeier	<i>Gary Suedmeier</i>	1618 16th Ave S.E Aberdeen SD 57401	
22	Steve Badmunt	<i>Steve Badmunt</i>	38713 122nd St Wagoner SD 57481	
23	Tom Sork	<i>Tom Sork</i>	709 Shady Dr - Aberdeen SD	Sportsman
24	Jesse Breyer	<i>Jesse Breyer</i>	604 Park Dr Wagoner SD 57403	Sportsman
25	Stan Koffman	<i>Stan Koffman</i>	Algonk S.D 57312	Bath
26	KENT SCHWEIGER	<i>Kent Schweiger</i>	479 5th NE HIRON	SPORTSMAN
27	Dave Peterson	<i>Dave Peterson</i>	635 Arizona SW Hiron	Sportsman
28	Dillon Peterson	<i>Dillon Peterson</i>	435 Arizona SW Hiron	Sportsman
29	John B Hershey	<i>John B Hershey</i>	1365 9th SW Hiron, SD	Sportsman
30	Jim F Tschetter	<i>Jim F Tschetter</i>	20988 404 AVE	Sportsman/Landowner
31	Kyle Knave	<i>Kyle Knave</i>	2308 Ohio SW	Sportsman
32	Warren Barton	<i>Warren Barton</i>	20446 405 AVE	SPORTSMAN
33	Louis Hemminger	<i>Louis Hemminger</i>	40370 2nd St	Sportsman
34	Vay Barton	<i>Vay Barton</i>	39208 45th Hwy/Hwy	Sportsman
35	Ben Aads	<i>Ben Aads</i>	40259-205th St	Sportsman
36	Wayne Fenske	<i>Wayne Fenske</i>	40168 221st	Sportsman
37	Pete Marcus	<i>Pete Marcus</i>	40054-204th St	Sportsman
38	Todd Smedley	<i>Todd Smedley</i>	23267 58th Hwy 37	Both
39	Tucker Smedley	<i>Tucker Smedley</i>	501 E Main St	Sportsman
40	Roger Higke	<i>Roger Higke</i>	402 E 7th Street Wagoner	Sportsman
41	Richard Regynski	<i>Richard Regynski</i>	306 East 7th Wagoner	Sportsman
42	Bruce Schmiecht	<i>Bruce Schmiecht</i>	8325 Wagonsocket	Landowner Sportsman
43	Grog Heit	<i>Grog Heit</i>	PO Box 206 Wagonsocket	Sportsman/Landowner
44	Derek Kleinsasser	<i>Derek Kleinsasser</i>	40149 225th St Wagoner	Sportsman
45	John Fenske	<i>John Fenske</i>	40086 221st St Wagoner	Landowner/Sportsman
46	Bill Galt	<i>Bill Galt</i>	39728 224th St Wagoner	Sportsman

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Dale Wolf	Dale Wolf		Sportsman Landowner
2	LORRE GAIKOWSKI	Lorre Gaikowski	Alpena, SD	Sportsman
3	ALLAN TIEDS	Allan Tieds	WOONSOCKET, SD	Sportsman
4	Mark Brueske	Mark Brueske	woonsocket SD	Sportsman
5	Sandra Beckman	Sandra Beckman	Jarvisdale S.D.	Sportsman
6	LEESCHIMKE	Leeschimke	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
7	Jim Scheel	Jim Scheel	Alpena SD	Sportsman/Landowner
8	Engene A. Gaikowski	Engene A. Gaikowski	Alpena SD	Sportsman
9	Sandra Brueske	Sandra Brueske	Woonsocket SD	Sportsman
10	Allen Dougan	Allen Dougan	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
11	Jamie R. Baker	Jamie R. Baker	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
12	Fred Kraemer	Fred Kraemer	Wessington Springs	Landowner
13	Austin Fries	Austin Fries	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
14	Brad Schmitt	Brad Schmitt	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
15	Steve Knipfer	Steve Knipfer	Hane SD	Sportsman
16	Randy L. Doty	Randy L. Doty	Wessington Springs	"
17	CHAD TUBB	Chad Tubb	Woonsocket	Sportsman/Landowner
18	W. Weber	W. Weber	Woonsocket	Sportsman
19	Jerry Van Der Oever	Jerry Van Der Oever	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
20	Robert Kolosick	Robert Kolosick	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
21	Gerald Fastnacht	Gerald Fastnacht	" "	Sportsman Landowner
22	Cory Fastnacht	Cory Fastnacht	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
23	Charles Brandenburg	Charles Brandenburg	Hane	Sportsman/Landowner
24	Michael Broadbent	Michael Broadbent	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
25	Brian Van Buren	Brian Van Buren	W. S. S.D	Sportsman
26	Jan Van Dyke	Jan Van Dyke	Wessington Springs	Landowner
27	Steve Sall	Steve Sall	Wessington	Landowner
28	Don Flouros	Don Flouros	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
29	Mark A. Grant	Mark A. Grant	Wessington Springs	Sportsman
30	Mark A. Cashman	Mark A. Cashman	Wess Springs SD	Landowner
31	Levi Olinger	Levi Olinger	Wess Springs	Landowner
32	Levi Olinger	Levi Olinger	Wess. Springs	Landowner
33	Ryan Kniffer	Ryan Kniffer	Wess Springs	Sportsman
34	Dustin Weber	Dustin Weber	Wess. Springs	Sportsman Landowner
35	Bryan Welzel	Bryan Welzel	Hane SD	Sportsman
36	Ashley Mitchell	Ashley Mitchell	Wess. Springs	Sportsman
37	Jessica Van Dyke	Jessica Van Dyke	Wess. Springs	Landowner
38	Jerry Voorhees	Jerry Voorhees	Wess. Springs	Landowner
39	Michael Brunson	Michael Brunson	Wess. Springs	Sportsman
40	James J. Reiner	James J. Reiner	Wess. Springs	Sportsman
41	Fred Rain	Fred Rain	Wess Springs	Farm
42	Ryan Jungmann	Ryan Jungmann	Wess Springs	Sportsman
43	Tom Melius	Tom Melius	Wess Springs	Sportsman
44	Dennis Metter	Dennis Metter	Wess. Springs	Sportsman
45	Jerry Smith	Jerry Smith	Castleton	Sportsman
46	Mark A. Cashman	Mark A. Cashman	Wess Springs	Sportsman

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Jesse Larson	Ben Jr	105 W 9th St/Woonsocket	Sportsman
2	Jennifer Eusti	Jennifer Eusti	30218 23rd St Woonsocket	Landowner
3	Rachael Anderson	Rachael Anderson	106 W 8th St Woonsocket	Sportsman
4	Sarah Jensen	Sarah Jensen	9710 39th Ave Woonsocket	landowner
5	Scott Jarvis	Scott Jarvis	23396 39th Ave	landowner
6	Alan Larson SR	Alan Larson	23200 39th Ave Woonsocket	landowner
7	Hearth Larson	Hearth Larson	105 East Main St	landowner
8	Jill Larson	Jill Larson	23396 39th Ave Woonsocket	landowner
9	Deborah Larson	Deborah Larson	23300 39th Ave Woonsocket	Sportsman
10	Teff Howard	Teff Howard	39482 235th St.	landowner
11	Robin Moody	Robin Moody	40246 23rd St Woonsocket	sportsman
12	Megan Turjeman	Megan Turjeman	41146 221st St Arden	Landowner
13	Bobi White	Bobi White	39879 232nd St Woonsocket	landowner
14	Jason White	Jason White	39879 232nd St Woonsocket	landowner
15	Tasha Hagman	Tasha Hagman	703 N 7th Ave Woonsocket	Sportsman
16	Jeff Hagman	Jeff Hagman	703 N 7th Ave Woonsocket	Sportsman
17	Hans Anderson	Hans Anderson	21944 39th Ave Alpena	Sportsman
18	April Kneen	April Kneen	22880 40th Ave	Landowner
19	John Fitzwood	John Fitzwood	40325 24th St	Sportsman
20	Elizabeth Brooks	Elizabeth Brooks	52248 SD Hwy 37 Woonsocket	Landowner/Sportsman
21	Oliver Fitzwood	Oliver Fitzwood	40315 24th St	Landowner
22	Dan Link	Dan Link	39833 233rd St	Landowner
23	Kathern Schelsta	Kathern Schelsta	40707 234th St	Sportsman
24	Arthur Kneen	Arthur Kneen	41028 230th St	Sportsman
25	Mark Schelsta	Mark Schelsta	40707 234th St	Sportsman
26	Tommy Kneen	Tommy Kneen	41032 230th St	Sportsman
27	Audrey Kneen	Audrey Kneen	41028 230th St	Sportsman
28	Charles Larson	Charles Larson	38105 SD Hwy 37	Sportsman
29	David Joly	David Joly	Woonsocket	SPAK
30	John Joly	John Joly	106 East Main St	sportsman
31	Garth Swanson	Garth Swanson	39778 SD Hwy 34	Sportsman
32	Joe Dice	Joe Dice	23449 40th Ave	Sportsman
33	Jeff Holdick	Jeff Holdick	Box 344 Woonsocket	Sportsman
34	Marty Anderson	Marty Anderson	26934 462nd Ave	Sportsman
35	Jodi Anderson	Jodi Anderson	26934 462nd Ave	Sportsman
36	Scott Anderson	Scott Anderson	1812 N Wainland St	Sportsman
37	Zach Smith	Zach Smith	808 Cedar Hill	Sportsman
38	Scott Jarvis	Scott Jarvis	Tom SD	Sportsman
39	Gary Johnson	Gary Johnson	1317 S Bluvelt	Sportsman
40	Kathy Johnson	Kathy Johnson	1317 S Bluvelt	Sportsman
41	GREG KANE	GREG KANE	1770 39th St Woonsocket	Sportsman
42	Luke Ward	Luke Ward	45 Seligman St Woonsocket	Sportsman
43	Jesse Katajczak	Jesse Katajczak	3800 S Hawthorne Ave Woonsocket	Sportsman
44	Dilyn Brooks	Dilyn Brooks	22248 SD Hwy 37 Woonsocket	Sportsman
45	John Brooks	John Brooks	22248 SD Hwy 37 Woonsocket	Landowner/Sportsman
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Wesley Anderson	Wesley Anderson		Sportsman
2	B. M. Wilson	Bill Wilson		Sportsman
3	Neil Kleppin	Neil Kleppin		Sportsman
4	Phillip Skonsang	Phillip Skonsang		Sportsman
5	Lauren Miner	Lauren Miner		Sportsman/landowner
6	Lisa Miner	Lisa Miner		Sportsman
7	Justin Wambach	Justin Wambach		Sportsman
8	Tom Miner	Tom Miner		Sportsman
9	Amanda Mees	Amanda Mees		Sportsman
10	Kate Nelson	Kate Nelson		Sportsman/landowner
11	Nathan Miner	Nathan Miner		Sportsman/Landowner
12	Janifer Mees	Janifer Mees		Sportsman
13	Justin Hahn	Justin Hahn	Huron SD	Sportsman
14	Brandon Larsen	Brandon Larsen	Huron SD	Sportsman
15	Lanay Walker	Lanay Walker	Huron SD	Sportsman
16	Cyle Searing	Cyle Searing	Huron SD	Sportsman
17	Stan Zell	Stan Zell		
18	GARY ZELL	Stan Zell	Huron SD	Sportsman
19	Mike Zell	Mike Zell	Huron	Sportsman
20	Brady Dickson	Brady Dickson	Huron	Sportsman
21	Garry Olson	Garry Olson	Woonsaket, SD	11
22	Adam Schmiedt	Adam Schmiedt	Woonsaket, SD	Sportsman
23	Rebecca Husoel	Rebecca Husoel	Woonsaket, SD	Sportsman/landowner
24	LAINA WARKENTHREN	Laina Warkenthren	Miller SD	Sportsman/landowner
25	Darla Warkenthren	Darla Warkenthren	Miller SD	Sportsman
26	Jesse Grassel	Jesse Grassel	Woonsaket, SD	Sportsman
27	Lance Smedley	Lance Smedley	Woonsaket, SD	Sportsman
28	Jackson Grassel	Jackson Grassel	Woonsaket, SD	Sportsman
29	Stacy Mendenhall	Stacy Mendenhall	Woonsaket SD	Sportsman
30	Krist. Schultz	Krist Schultz	Woonsaket SD	Sportsman
31	Robbie Schley	Robbie Schley	Alpena, SD	Sportsman
32	Alecia Schley	Alecia Schley	Alpena, SD	Sportsman
33	Shawn Hoyt	Shawn Hoyt	Alpena, SD	Sportsman
34	Ryan Mees	Ryan Mees	Huron, SD	Sportsman
35	Chad Kopfmann	Chad Kopfmann	Alpena, SD	Sportsman
36	Desarae Schuh	Desarae Schuh	Mitchell, S.D.	Sportsman
37	Ryan Peterson	Ryan Peterson	Huron SD	Sportsman
38	Devyn Brooks	Devyn Brooks	Woonsaket SD	Sportsman
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Dave Hinker	Dave Hinker	1383 N. Frontier Dr.	Huron SD sports
2	Rebecca Hinker	Rebecca Hinker	20757 SD Hwy 37	Huron SD sportsman
3	Macey Brotterton	Macey Brotterton	1391 18th St SW	Huron SD 57350 sportsman
4	Scott Senha	Scott Senha	4025 225th St Woonsocket	SD 57385 Sportsman + Landowner
5	Jamie Hoyer	Jamie Hoyer	40261 220th St Huron SD	Sportsman
6	Todd Kockow	Todd Kockow	1624 Indiana Huron SD	Sportsman
7	Russ Klemsner	Russ Klemsner	350 13th St SE	Sportsman
8	Mike DeBoer	Michael A. Boer	705 3rd St SE Huron SD	Sportsman
9	Mike Hinker	Mike Hinker	21757 SD Hwy 37 Huron SD	Sportsman
10	Zachary DeShazer	Zachary DeShazer	2349 Ohio Ave SW Huron SD	Sportsman
11	Tom McDaniel	Tom McDaniel	581 Kansas Ave SE Huron SD	Sportsman
12	Jay Elwell	Jay Elwell	252 7th Street NE	Huron SD Sportsman
13	Anthony Small	Anthony Small	1114 Idaho Ave SE	Huron SD Sportsman
14	Randy Weber	Randy Weber	551 Kansas SE	Huron SD Sportsman
15	Brian Crandall	Brian Crandall	P.O. Box 322	Wake SD Sportsman
16	Jeremy Mitts	Jeremy Mitts	635 7th St SW	Huron, Sportsman
17	W. Jett Tomlan	W. Jett Tomlan	816 1st St SW	Huron, SD sportsman
18	Scott Shoultz	Scott Shoultz	240 Colvard	Huron SD sportsman
19	Mike King	Mike King	607 Jersey	Huron SD sportsman
20	Riley Dease	Riley Dease	776 Wisconsin St W	Huron SD 57350 Sportsman
21	David Elwell	David Elwell	1654 Ohio St	Huron SD 57350 Sportsman
22	Jim Johansen	Jim Johansen	40977 22nd Artesian	Artesian SD 57314 Sportsman + Landowner
23	Dan Cahill	Dan Cahill	207 E 9th St Woonsocket	Both Sportsman Landowner
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Bruce Peterson	Bruce Peterson	Watertown	Sportsman
2	Stacy Smith	Stacy Smith	Castlewood	Sportsman
3	Tony Schmit	Tony Schmit	Castlewood	Sportsman
4	Hunter Smith	Hunter Smith	Castlewood	Sportsman
5	Patrick Fastnacht	Patrick Fastnacht	3228 2355 W.S.	Landowner
6	John Kohan	John Kohan	Westington Springs	Landowner
7	Bill Cummins	Bill Cummins	Woonsocket	Sportsman
8	Michael Brudvik	Michael Brudvik	Virgil	Sportsman
9	Jack Walker	Jack Walker	Woonsocket	Landowner
10	Lynn Camarillo	Lynn Camarillo	West Springs	Sportsman
11	Jack Grohs	Jack Grohs	West Springs	Sportsman
12	Jim Vissia	Jim Vissia	West Springs	Sportsman
13	George Linke	George Linke	Woonsocket	Sportsman
14	Misty Peterson	Misty Peterson	Watertown	Sportsman
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				

In response to the petition discussed at the SD GFP Commissioners meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Alberta Fink	Alberta Fink	308 W 9 th St, Woonsocket	Sportsman
2	Kathy Kasperian	Kathy Kasperian	1465 Arizona Sw	Sportsman
3	James N. Hegg	James N. Hegg	22155 403 rd Ave, Huron SD	Sportsman
4	Lynelle M. Brunkel	Lynelle M. Brunkel	40264-2215 th St Huron SD	Both
5	Curris Brunkel	Curris Brunkel	39909 - 2215 th Woonsocket	SPORTSMAN
6	Richard Ait	Richard Ait	23128 408 th Ave Forestburg SD	Landowner
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				



Commissioner's meeting on 3/1/18 changing the landowner elk tag eligibility acreage minimum to 1,000 acres, 2,000 elk use days, and have to live within 60 miles, we do not agree with the proposed change.

We are in favor of the current state statute for landowner elk tags that require a minimum of 240 acres and 500 elk use days. The current eligible landowners provide hunter opportunity and wildlife habitat now and we do not want to see this lost with this new proposed petition.

	PRINT NAME	SIGN NAME	ADDRESS/CITY	SPORTSMAN/LANDOWNER
1	Derek Nelson		843 Arizona So Huron SD	Sportsman
2	Ross VanZee		113 Vermont Ave /PlatteSD	Sportsman
3	Tom Boltjes		121 W 7th /Platte SD	Sportsman
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				