
Doug Nelson
Chamberlain SD
dn1stop@hotmail.com

Comment:

why is custer state park deer tags included and do the preference point just go way? Or due we get our money back??? Is this what will happen to the elk tags next? Forcing more hunters for big game to go to other western states!!!! Go back to the old

Dave Redlin
Watertown SD
dave@dpc.us.com

Comment:

Can the new drawing procedure be any more confusing? Who is the person that came up with this idea? This is way more difficult than it needs to be. If it's not broken...then don't fix it!!

Eric Reisenweber
Sioux Falls SD
ereiser13@hotmail.com

Comment:

I am in complete agreement with the current deer license proposal. It still leaves plenty of options for everyone that wants to hunt deer, plus it adds opportunities for more hunters afield.
I strongly encourage the nonresident license allocation to remain at 8%, and I would really like to see the nonresident archery tags follow that same 8% margin. I am not opposed at all to inviting nonresident hunters into our state, and I do encourage it. However, we will lose more and more access as residents, the more nonresident hunters we license to hunt.

Darcy Kuyper
Platte SD
darcykuyper@gmail.com

Comment:

This sounds like a great plan !

Blake Jensen
De Smet SD
blake@dakotalandcommunityinsurance.com

Comment:

What is the likelihood of an average Eastern SD Unit or Season not being completely filled within the first draw?

Bruce Eldridge
Chamberlain SD
bseldrid@midstatesd.net

Comment:

I think there is nothing wrong with the way we have done it in the past and have not heard anything to make me believe that we need to change anything

Tom Hoffman
Hot Springs SD
tomandeva@goldenwest.net

Comment:

I have been very negative about this entire issue ever since the citizen planning process started. It did bring out some important issues but it then appeared the Commission went their own way. This latest proposal established more common ground and should satisfy more of the hunting public. Thanks to the Commission for listening to us and making the right decision.

Jason Haskell
Aberdeen SD
j.kr@nrctv.com

Comment:

Muzzleloader, as it is restricted in SD, should not be thrown in with all the other rifle seasons. It is a primitive weapon and by restricting use to open sights and basically one shot it should have its own draw. It also should be easier to draw than once every 5-7 years. I also feel it should be given a window prior to rifle season but after archery and then run congruent with and slightly beyond the rifle season. Rifle season should be shortened and/or delayed. I'd be curious to see what the percentage of deer taken on that first weekend of rifle season are. Deer are still rut-crazed and have seen little pressure. Adding a muzzleloader prior to rifle puts a little pressure on the deer allowing Muzzleloader hunters a chance to get out and closer on deer that are less pressured and give the deer a bit of a "heads-up" to the impending rifle season. I think that this would also improve quality of the deer in SD. There needs to be a priority to those hunters who are willing to work harder for their hunt through the use of primitive weapons. Thanks for listening to my thoughts.

Clinton Sieben
Scotland SD
Clintonsieben@hotmail.com

Comment:

I still like the way it is today but I would support this draft it is better than the first. I don't feel you are going to get more hunters in the field you are just going to limit the amount of tags people get that like to hunt already

Kenny Robbins

Spearfish SD

Machman_76@hotmail.com

Comment:

Seems confusing, why don't you just leave it alone!! The system isn't broken. Every South Dakotan has the same opportunities.

Ronnie Jaenisch

Ashby MN

Rjjaenis@prtcl.com

Comment:

Why change? The way it's set up now works. What you are trying to do is so confusing for a nonresident do I apply third drawing or fifth. Just leave it alone.

Thomas Riddle

Mitchell SD

Riddleandsons@gmail.com

Comment:

gain please leave license system as it was in previous years, old saying is if it ain't broke don't try to fix. It's not broke. Disappointed this commission does not hear the masses

Lindsey Anderson

Hot Springs SD

yourcar@gwtc.net

Comment:

Since the Statewide Any Deer Muzzle Loader Season is so limited in the number of permits available, it should be included in the early draw along with the Special Buck Season. An applicant should be able to apply for either of these on the same application, but only one. If successful, then the applicant would be allowed to apply for only one additional license type in the following First Draw.

Loren Lunning

Centerville SD

lorenlunning@gmail.com

Comment:

just leave it alone. why are you even messing with it .worked this many years. just gonna make more people find a different pass time

Ethan Zakrzewski

Brandon SD

Ethanzakrzewski@gmail.com

Comment:

This will be a Great way to get more new hunters in the field and Is a great idea. Let's get caught up with the rest of the western states.

Lennard Hopper

Spearfish SD

Comment:

This has really been a three ring circus act. I don't mean to sound cynical but the proposals have changed so many times now, I have lost track. I took part in a focus group last spring, and GFP was taking a very calm and collected approach to revamping our drawing system, but now it seems like last minute desperation to get something passed. I feel like it would be better to go back to the drawing board instead of forcing something through this year. I also think we need to take a more critical look at who any proposed change actually benefits. I don't think any system that requires the average hunter to follow through 5 drawings is serving the resident public well. It might leave a lot more tags open for non residents, but I thought our game resources were managed for the benefit of residents first. Just my two cents.

Robert Deutz

Marshall MN

Comment:

Non resident land owners are paying property tax to your state and have zero chance of a rifle tag for east river bucks until the 5th draw is ridiculous. There is not a license left after the 1st draw. Whoever is making these decisions are being extremely selfish. Why not have a chance like west river non residents have. At least it is a chance.

Keith Christianson

Volga SD

walleye621@outlook.com

Comment:

I do not like this proposal because I hunt locally and with allowing hunters two draws in the first round it takes away my chance to draw my preferred tag. Please consider the last proposal, it provided my a better chance for a buck tag. Those who want east and west river tags allow on buck tag and one doe tag. They then can continue the traditions they have hunting with family or friends in both side of the state,

Steve Baldwin

Custer SD

sbaldwin9@gmail.com

Comment:

This still doesn't adequately address the issue of some hunters getting multiple tags while most go without any. I still say it should be one deer tag per hunter and spread them out. You are listening to a few loud voices that like to harvest many deer every year and that just isn't fair.

Tyler Tarbox

Watertown SD

Comment:

Leave it alone. The SDGFP has already had so much more negative feedback on this than positive. Time to start listening to your SD residents. And quit raising SD resident licenses every year and start dramatically raising non residents. There is absolutely no reason that non residents can come to the state of SD and fish for the year with Paying such a minimal fishing license fee. This alone is absolutely ridiculous. SDGFP needs to concentrate more on the sportman and women of this state and quit worrying more about non resident. This should be one of the easiest fixes to get accomplished and raise more money for the outdoors of SD

David Duffy

Oldham SD

dkduffy1980@gmail.com

Comment:

I was originally NOT if favor of any changes in the deer licensing system. I do think that this newest proposal is a VERY GOOD compromise for every one involved!! Thanks to the commissioners and Kevin Robling for working together to come up with this compromise. It was nice to see that we could come together on a plan that everyone should support. Thanks, David Duffy Oldham, SD

Rich Fiedler

Selby SD

rfiedler@venturecomm.net

Comment:

It seems like our local residents (which do not qualify for landowner preference) are having difficulty drawing tags for our own county because there is so much non local competition from other SD residents. It never use to be like this. Why couldn't there be a county resident level of preference added to the system. Most of our local residents only apply for one tag per year and it's for our county since that's where they have always hunted. It's a shame that they can't draw a tag, but someone who has never even been here before can get draw one?

Scott Kuck
Aberdeen SD
kucklaw@nvc.net

Comment:

Dear G, F & P: The fact that you have to send out this "Understanding" once again reinforces the following facts: 1. This proposal is a "fix" for a system that was never broken; 2. The hunters in this state have overwhelmingly voiced their opposition to a change in the deer license draw system; and 3. You have failed miserably in listening to the very people who buy the licenses that pay for your salaries. Wrapping this latest proposal in the blanket of helping the youth hunters is also shameful. I fully support the addition of the youth draw benefits that have been proposed, but believe that it was added for the sole purpose of trying to gain support for this proposed change to the draw system. You could have added the youth proposals years ago. It certainly would have helped my two teenage daughters draw a tag.

Please make sure that this e-mail is included in the public comments section for the next commission meeting. I have previously and continue to oppose any change to the deer license draw system that has served the hunting public of this great state very well for several decades. Once again, stop trying to fix something that is not broken.

Frank Williamson
West Linn OR

Comment:

I've enjoyed hunting South Dakota for almost 40 years and would like the opportunity to rifle hunt my own property in Eastern South Dakota. Why can't there be allowances for South Dakota land owners that are non-residents.

Steve Eide
Mount Vernon SD
sd57328@yahoo.com

Comment:

Don't fix it if it isn't broke.

Leave it alone already.

Jeff Jundt
Lake Orion MI
cobramach1@hotmail.com

Comment:

I'm going to repeat my original reply, but this is so disappointing to me that this is going through like it is because you've effectively made it so that I can no longer hunt in South Dakota on my several generation family farm that I own.

I am not even sure where to start with this letter in regards to the upcoming changes proposed for deer in South Dakota. I grew up on a small farm/ranch in northeastern South Dakota and up until this year, my mother was still living on the farm. She was diagnosed with terminal cancer a couple months ago. In fact, this is the first year that I have not hunted on our family farm because I am caring for my mother in Michigan where I live. I have not lived in or been a resident of South Dakota since 1998 and have been hunting on our family farm as a non-resident all the years since. With the changes that are being proposed, there is essentially no chance that I will ever get another rifle deer tag for my county ever again in my lifetime if I have to wait until the fifth draw! Having to wait until the third draw like I do now has been hit or miss the past few years due to fluctuations with the deer population and numbers of tags as it is.

I guess what I don't understand is how South Dakota is so well known for inviting out of state hunters in to bring money to the economy, yet they don't offer the same to other hunters. I guess that is only if it is pheasant hunting. All other hunting, a non-resident is no longer treated the same way and those of us who grew up on a generational farm but happen to live out of state are punished and cannot even hunt on our own land for deer with a rifle. That is kind of a shame that former residents and landowners, in my instance, are treated this way.

I like how South Dakota manages their deer because they manage it by the county unit which is much better than how deer are managed here in Michigan where I can buy my licenses and hunt anywhere in the state. That never made any sense to me because it puts a lot of pressure on certain areas and not enough on others. This was the first year that I hunted in Michigan since I moved here 11 years ago and it is only because I was unable to hunt in SD this year except for pheasants. Looking over the proposal, it is kind of outrageous that a single person can obtain up to 9 deer licenses!? Nobody is eating that much deer in a given year no matter the size of your family. Therefore, they must have to give most of it away. These extra deer could go to non-residents in the third drawing as it has been so it continues to bring us in to hunt and spend money in the local economies, which I do every year.

Which brings me to another point. If you are going to go with this type of system that is fine, but at least allow a landowner to purchase tags to hunt on their own land. When my dad was still able to hunt with me, we hunted throughout our county but once his health deteriorated before he passed away I stuck to just hunting on the family farm and never left it and had always been able to get my deer there. I implore you that if you do make the proposed changes to add in a provision to allow landowners like myself who live out of state to be able to hunt on our family land. I would be perfectly ok with that, as I do not feel the need to hunt in the rest of the county. I was planning to build a new deer stand to put on my property, but in light of this, I likely will switch to elk hunting out west or down south from here on out. I will be giving another state and their local economy my money, which is a shame since I love South Dakota so much and own land, which I could hunt on for my deer each year. It is a tradition for me and this proposal is effectively killing that tradition. It puts such a sour taste in my mouth that I'm unsure if I want to continue coming out each year for pheasant hunting. I made two trips each year to SD to hunt, one for pheasant and one for deer, in fact this past year I made a third trip out for Black Hills turkey and was thinking about coming out again next spring to try my luck but again, with all of this coming down the pike, I've canceled my spring turkey hunt as I don't want to continue giving the SD GF&P my money any longer since they no longer care about all hunters.

I get why there might be some pushback to offering landowners a tag is that the residents then "feel" like deer are being taken away from them and they're worried that people are going to come in and buy land for hunting. The little bit of that land that may be purchased for those reasons is miniscule and the people complaining about landowners are ones that are never going to own any land anyway in the state so it makes more sense to make additional money off of a non-resident landowner. I don't have a problem paying the higher cost. I just want to be able to hunt on my personal land.

Please reconsider this proposal or at the very least allow family landowners such as myself the opportunity to hunt on our own land.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jeff Jundt

Monique Newcomb

Rapid City SD

mozy444@aol.com

Comment:

The current draw system works fine. Leave it alone. This proposed system simply limits the number of applications one can submit, thus diminishing the chance of getting even one tag per year. I do not want the proposed system. I have talked to at least 20 friends who all do not want the proposed system. If someone is having a difficult time drawing a tag using our current system, it is because the number of tags available continue to decrease.

Shane Voss

Hurley SD

shane.voss@k12.sd.us

Comment:

We went through this with the first proposal. The sportsman do not want our system changed. There is nothing wrong with the current system.

David Hankins

Lafayette IN

dhankins@purdue.edu

Comment:

Ive hunted SD deer for 45 years, both as a resident (military) and now a non-resident. Where is the improvement in this change? Very confusing! And if you want to increase the number of deer hunters in SD, then hunters that don't have tags should draw before a hunter can draw a 2nd tag....let alone letting them have 11 tags! thank you,
Dave

Paul Niederbaumer

Faulkton SD

paulniederbaumer@yahoo.com

Comment:

You are limiting our rights to apply for as many tags as we want with an equal chance at getting drawn for a license.

Clarence Wohlwend

Spearfish SD

grizzlynut@yahoo.com

Comment:

I served on a so-called focus group in Belle Fourche . The results of the focus groups are being discarded by the useless politicians who have control over any policy changes. I will never again participate in what has become a public participation joke!

Lee Kinney

Onida SD

kinneyl@icloud.com

Comment:

This is a lot better then the first draft.

Chris Duklet

Watertown SD

Comment:

I'd make one change to this and have non-residents draw for a limited amount of archery tags. During elk season in the Black Hills I ran into more non-residents archery deer hunts wearing orange stomping all over the Hills than I did other elk hunters. Reports out of Harding County were equally poor as all South Dakota hunters saw were non-resident hunters in what is traditionally nice quiet places to hunt deer. If your goal is to increase the number of resident deer hunters who get a tag, decrease the number of non-residents who can buy an unlimited amount of deer tags.

I'd also change the archery season back to a later start date. The deer and the elk this fall were pressured way too much in the Black Hills. It made elk hunting, which I thought was the premier big game hunt in South Dakota, a poor quality hunt.

Clark Baker

Sioux Falls SD

clarkbaker27@yahoo.com

Comment:

This proves what a mess you guys have made. When you send this alledged explanation out. This is even more confusing. Leave the old way alone!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Joel Farnham
White Rock NM
jefarnham@comcast.net

Comment:

Why do you need 5 draws? Why not simplify the draw process down to 2 or 3?

Nick Gerjets
Brookings SD
ngerjets@gmail.com

Comment:

I feel that it would make the most sense to do away with archery season tags. If you draw a tag for any portion of a block (east west so on) hunt that whole block with a bow. Get a deer great your done hunting for the year. Or if rifle season comes and you have not filled, hunt your specific unit with a rifle. Continue on to black powder the same way. I have to think this allows you to give more tags as a whole, at the same time gaining more control over harvest numbers. The last I knew bow tags were still unlimited over the counter. If so my suggestion would let the other season hunters have a chance at a tag at the same time if there were left over tags a bow hunter could buy more after a second drawing.

Garlan Bigge
Huron SD
gbigge@hur.midco.net

Comment:

Leave it like it always has been.

Dennis Engel
Sioux Falls SD
marcia.denny@hotmail.com

Comment:

what a hassle this would be, leave the current draw in place, but add free preference for the youth. this will not help you get your preferred license when most will still apply for their preferred choice. and who wants to buy preference points for third or fourth choices

Beth Dokken
Pierre SD

Comment:

oppose

Maddox Dokken

Pierre SD

Comment:

oppose

Bradley Olson

Dell Rapids SD

olsonranchs@outlook.com

Comment:

Born and raised here been hunting 52 years. This was such a waste of time and money to force a change on us we didn't want. I guess you can go party now you forced it through. Thanks for hampering my final years of hunting South Dakota. Now how about doing something that is needed like predator control.

Doug Van Bockern

Renner SD

davanbo@gmail.com

Comment:

I don't want eleven licenses. The postage alone would be cost prohibitive. Just leave it the way it was. By the time you are done drawing it will be time to put in for next season.

Whatever you were trying to fix, you missed the mark.

Maximum of 7 people are happy with your changes, the rest of us are made to feel dirty about wanting to hunt

Jim Detoy

Rapid City SD

jsdetoy@yahoo.com

Comment:

It is getting more and more complicated to hunt in SD.

Scott Bader

Aberdeen SD

Bades@abe.midco.net

Comment:

It seems that every time GF&P is trying to make changes to their sub-sections, they are always TAKING AWAY more rights from residents that live in this State. We live here, work here, play here, let our kids see this great State for the natural resources that we have and every year, proposed changes are not beneficial to anyone except tourism. Let tourism stay out of our Sportsman revenue and make decisions to benefit us for once.

Gary Major

Lithia Springs GA

gary.major55@gmail.com

Comment:

It doesn't appear you allow non residents to get an East River deer license. I don't mind waiting until the 3d draw and only getting a doe tag but I like to come home to SD and hunt on our own farm.

Ron Hulzebos

Harrisburg SD

ron2ponds@gmail.com

Comment:

I agree with changes being made to the deer draw structure with the exception of having the Custer deer application included in the first 2 choices. With a draw chance at less than 1%, this license should not be included in the 2 license restriction on the first draw. Thanks for anything you do to make this once in a lifetime type tag a possibility in the future.

Andrew McDonald

Pierre SD

amcd627e@yahoo.com

Comment:

I oppose this proposal very heavily. If you, the gfp are trying to drive hunters out of this state you are succeeding. Many of my friends and family have expressed concerns about the proposal and how it will end family traditions of hunting together due to being limited on the number of applications that can be applied for. For myself the decision is easy. If the proposal goes through im going out of state and will no longer support or hunt in this state.

Clayton Larson

Selby SD

cmlarson@venturecomm.net

Comment:

Leave the seasons the way they have been for years. It was shot down once and something else just comes back again and again. Listen to your resident hunter and not the out of staters. I get tired of hearing how much money they bring in. I live here I don't buy gas, beer and shells for one weekend, I do it year around !!!!! Cator to the resident.

Ronald Funk

Tucson AZ

rrfunkaz@yahoo.com

Comment:

Why in the world would you allow residents to have 11 licenses and so severely restrict nonresident tags? Where do tags gain SD the most dollars anyway?? I've purchased nonresident tags for many years and really do not feel that there is proper distribution of opportunities to hunt for nonresident big game of upland birds.

Mike Kluth

Mount Vernon SD

mike_kluth08@hotmail.com

Comment:

I would like to know how much money has been wasted on this going back and forth back and forth and having all these meeting where people were invited to attend. I have not seen one good proposal. Quit changing things that arent broke and put the money into in the lakes that need to be cleaned out and stocked with fish and spray for thistles on walk in areas if you want to do something other then wasting thousands of dollars an getting nowhere.

David Del Soldato

Rapid City SD

sheyanne97@yahoo.com

Comment:

you should just leave it alone or do what you first offered with only one choice on first draw

Vern Falconer

Arlington SD

Comment:

Why don't we make it as complicated as we can !?

Bob Koscak

Rapid City SD

bobbyk@rap.midco.net

Comment:

I hope this makes sense to you, because I don't think you could have done it in a more complicated way.

William Phillips

Sturgis SD

billp@rushmore.com

Comment:

I am life-long resident of Meade County SD and an avid Hunter/Sportsman along with a wife and two children who also hunt both west-river and Black Hills units. I am also an outfitter in Meade County and have been for 15+years. We control approximately 15,000 acres of private land in Meade County. We take approximately 8-12 non-resident hunters every year, we also have at least that many residents and kids that hunt every year. My frustration is when I see 200-300 left over any-deer tags in Meade County 49A every year, and every year half of our out of state hunters can't even get one tag! Then I watch the same residents end up with 2-3 tags in addition to tags in other counties! Or I see the countless residents driving up and down the road with no place to hunt, when I ask why did you get a tag in this unit then? "because I saw all the leftovers" or "I didn't draw a hills tag" on and on and then we have to deal with people poaching and trespassing non-stop. I felt the system the GF&P almost went to that had a first choice and then out until everyone had a first choice would be a much better system. Yes residents would not get 5 tags! But they would most likely get to hunt the place most important to them, or have a better chance at Hills tag if that's the only place they have to go. To me, offering three rounds of drawings for residents before Non-residents get an option is ridiculous! Most don't have a place to hunt and the tags are getting wasted! At least most non-residents that are putting in for the tag are planning a trip, staying in hotels, and spending money in our local economy and HAVE A PLACE TO HUNT if they could just get a tag. I'm not saying I feel they should have the same options as resident's, but 8% of the original until the 5th round does not make sense. Thanks for listening,
respectfully,
Bill Phillips

Don Hantzsche

Summerset SD

tlwdah@gmail.com

Comment:

I know I am beating a dead horse but I am still opposed to including muzzleloader season with the rifle seasons. I have heard the main reasons for includ it is to reduce the number of applicant's for the muzzleloader any tag. To prevent it from becoming a once in a lifetime tag. If that is the case I understand the need for action. But would need to see the data supporting such a move. What is the hunter actual success rate in filling this tag. Would that support more muzzleloader tags? Bottom line I just don't think it belongs in a draw with rifle seasons.

Russell Simonsen

Yankton SD

simonsenrl@hotmail.com

Comment:

I believe this is a fair lottery system

Guy Bennett
Rapid City SD
guy.bennett@rcgov.org

Comment:

This helps with the 3 Rs of hunter recruitment

Pat Malcomb
Sioux Falls SD
pmalcomb@sio.midco.net

Comment:

leave it be

Tim Schrank
Pierre SD
timschrank@hotmail.com

Comment:

If I already possess 2 preference points, what advantage is paying for any more?

Dean Ritter
Harrold SD
Ritter8275@yahoo.com

Comment:

If you allow nonresident buck tags in the first draw it will lead to what we have with pheasant hunting. Paid hunting . Most South Dakotans can't afford to pay to hunt. Nonresidents don't have a problem paying \$5000 to 10,000 to hunt deer but we can't.

David Jacobs
Canton SD
Dajacobs@iw.net

Comment:

Non-residents should not be allowed to apply fir any licenses until after the third draw. It's hard enough to draw the desired license. You should be supporting in state hunters before catering to out of staters.

Quit trying to reinvent the wheel - the license system was fine until you started cutting licenses and trying to change the system.

Terry Halvorson

Yankton SD

ttlhh4@gmail.com

Comment:

I have been deer hunting for some 35 years what it is going to do if it changes to new app process will eliminate me for deer hunting in sd most all the places I apply for their already is just one draw my odds will be worse if it changes , i myself and a lot of my friends will be forced to hunt other states because we can apply and get tags 95% of the time in other states, so in other words dont fix something that isn't broken to make a few people happy in almost all the meetings us sportsmen are against it wasnt it about 70 % against it and 30% for changing it ????

Joe Arbach

Hoven SD

joe.arbachins@venturecomm.net

Comment:

This proposal is very well done. Good job all involved.

Lee Whitcraft

Webster WI

leew@schooltechbiz.com

Comment:

I think again a non resident firearm season with an 8% allocation will continue to reduce the opportunity to draw a license. I have 5 preference points for west river. Did not get drawn last year again. I love deer hunting western SD

Tim Chelgren

Sioux Falls SD

tjchelgren@gmail.com

Comment:

Muzzleloading season will again suffer. East river pushed into a full week in december. Late season moved to the end of the month. This leave only 1 weekend for muzzleloaders to safely set in a tree line or other cover. There is no ground blind hunting when there are high powered rifle hunters road hunting shooting at anything that moves. Why doesnt muzzleloading get moved to late oct, early nov? Why is east and west river overlap. We dont want that.

Joshua Schmidt

Aberdeen SD

jjschmidt2270@gmail.com

Comment:

If change has to occur, this proposal is much better than the first. This will not impact my current hunt preference over the last several years. I like the idea of being able to apply for two seasons in the first draw.

Daniel Ferrell

Belle Fourche SD

57717

Comment:

Only one liscence per draw on the first two draws

Pat Schulte

Rapid City SD

Ggrazing@icloud.com

Comment:

Muzzle loader and refuge should not be included,don't like any part of the proposal or the lowlife way you got your info,i did the original survey and you worded it so no matter how we answered you could interpret it how you wanted

Daniel Langbehn

Huron SD

dan.langbehn@midco.net

Comment:

support

Romey Bromwich

Madras OR

pinshoot@gmail.com

Comment:

As a former resident and now non resident hunter of SD. have hunted almost all 17 western states as well as my friends. EVERYONE OF US declared we would give up our points, super points and multipliers to just go back to a draw and you get it or you don't.

It's a game of mathematics that DOESN'T WORK. There are units in Oregon that I will never be able to hunt because 20 points plus multipliers means EVERYONE has 20 points plus multiples. The same for Arizona and other states. It's a slow death to a problem where hunting numbers dwindle more and more every year. I myself have over 20 points plus multiples in Arizona, I realize now by being pointed out from a PHD Mathematician with ALLLLL those points and ALLL that money spent it is a mathematical impossibility to be drawn.

One member of our group had 28 points in a unit, if he was to draw he would now be nearly 70 years old..

Consider that when you go down this mathematics trail. Eventually the public will tire of buying points and multipliers and SDGF&P conservation money will dwindle so tags fees will go up and force more to not put in, this is how we lose the North American Conservation Model.

Brett Stekl

Letcher SD

brettstekl@gmail.com

Comment:

I'm not sure what the proposal is trying to accomplish anymore. It seems like the GFP is trying to push something through just for the sake of it. I believe the current system works fine.

Duane Hinman

Groton SD

Comment:

I am a little disappointed in the latest deer draw proposal. When the original deer draw proposal was approved I was excited to see a draw system that would increase the odds for everyone to draw one of their preferred tags.

It appeared to solve the general issue of having a select group of individuals receiving multiple any deer tags, when many people would end up with zero of their preferred tags. The original proposal clearly identified how more individuals would be able to receive a preferred tag every year. Now it seems the original plan is being scrapped based on a select few people's complaints. It looks like we're going backwards with the newest proposal making it worse than it was before any of these proposals were initiated. From the outside looking in, I think a lot of people wasted their time for nothing. The same people will end up receiving two any deer first choices while everyone else will have to settle for the leftovers. Just my opinion from where I stand.

Jonathan Schied

Huron SD

tlrook2bchamp@gmail.com

Comment:

I support everything in this proposal but one thing. I do not agree with people the age of 15 or younger getting free preference points. That is swaying the system to far to one side. There are those of us who have been hunting for years dealing with mostly the same rules and a little change from time to time is necessary but at an equal playing field. If they want a preference point they need to buy one and only receive the one they buy. I believe you are gunna lose faith in existing hunters with the system if you give people 15 and younger free bonus preference points. What that is saying is that they can apply for preference points and get 2 instead of one. For a guy like me who has paid his way for preference in say elk for 8 years now totaling 8 preference points a kid at the age of 11 could have 8 preference points in elk as well in half the time. That is completely unfair. I understand your concern with bringing in more youth which is great, but this isn't the way to achieve that goal.

Darrell Nicholas

Spearfish SD

redhillranch@wyoaac.org

Comment:

Are there landowner - rancher deer license available without drawing ? For us.

Rodney Larson

Sioux Falls SD

rodneysfsd@gmail.com

Comment:

The number of hunters that will benefit from the proposed changes will be so small it will hardly be worth making draw system so complex. The current system worked just fine and was very easy to understand as well as explain to a rookie. I'm almost certain somebody didn't get a first choice license and his buddy got both east and west river choices so this person who must have some influence at the state level has forced all these unnecessary changes.

James Callahan

Madison SD

leesales@rapidnet.com

Comment:

you are not clear about what you are doing to the youth season. Are you seriously going to throw them in the draw. If so that is a giant mistake.

John Moon

Creighton SD

Jtmoon57790@yahoo.com

Comment:

Instead of making the draw so complicated why not simplify it and offer land owner tags they can sell to other hunters? Many other states offer this strategy. It would free up your special tags and also help the landowners manage there deer herd better.

Heath Siemonsma

Humboldt SD

siemonsmaelectric@yahoo.com

Comment:

oppose

Jeff Allen

Piedmont SD

Mtclmr@gmail.com

Comment:

Too complicated!

Miles Clark

Oacoma SD

miles_clark@hotmail.com

Comment:

oppose

Fred Carl

Rapid City SD

fkcarl@rap.midco.net

Comment:

I supported the original proposal and still do--but not this version. In order to spread the hunting opportunity around, first draw should be one application for the primary season of choice. The only people being limited would be those that are used to hunting in multiple seasons--while it helps to get those in the field that only hunt a particular season and end up waiting for 2-3 years or more to draw that tag.

Robert Wollman
Yankton SD
bwollman@iw.net

Comment:

Your explanation I received by Email is very clear and easy to understand. I believe you made it very, very, fair for all hunters. thank you for your hard work.

Dorn Severtson
Cologne MN
DORNJSEVERTSON@GMAIL.COM

Comment:

Hi,

I own a functioning farm in Jerauld County and have since 1999. I am a non resident. I have never been able to buy a buck tag in the 19 years I have paid taxes and supported the SD economy. I realize residents should have preference, but as a land owner, I would like to have the possibility of a buck tag once every 5 to 10 years or so. Even if I have to buy preference points and be patient, please consider the investment and commitment I have made as a land owner and allow some option Est River.

Thank you for your consideration

Derrick Nelson
Hayti SD
Mwgrind@icloud.com

Comment:

I feel this is a fair way to draw for rifle tags. Thanks for your time. But there is a huge issue with nonresident bow tags. If I have my numbers right SD gave out 4000 nonresident bow tags. Yes that's a lot of income but we could do a cheap habitat stamp that every body that buys a tag or lisenice in SD has to pay to create some income. I believe ND gave out 680 nonresident bow tags last year. It's hard for residents to draw a special buck tag and when we do we go hunt on our public land that are over run by nonresident bow hunters. I spent 45 days last season out hunting and seen it first hand. Thanks for your time.

Robert Brown
Brainerd MN
Llbrown@charter.netnon

Comment:

A resident can have up to 11 tags but a non resident landowner is excluded from even applying for a east river tag. That's fair? Would like to take my grandson but he's excluded-yet he helps manage the land for deer. Is that fair? No-it's ridiculous!

David Peck

Cherokee IA

delmag1942@yahoo.com

Comment:

The first draw looks like it is about back to the ways it was/should be. The leftover draws are still a smoke screen. Just make it all resident only as SDGFP knows that there will be none leftover from the NR pool. Letting the residents have up to 11 tags prior to letting NR have a shot at the leftovers is ridiculous. Might want to just go back to the way it was and left it alone. That being said open the ER to NR....as there is little doubt that the ER hunters are the ones that have pushed for this.

Mark Knudtson

Deadwood SD

mkknudtson@yahoo.com

Comment:

I would suggest limiting Draw 1 applications to only one instead of two, which would increase each applicant's chances of drawing their preferred license more often.

William Podoll

Aberdeen SD

WKPODOLL13@GMAIL.COM

Comment:

\$\$ that is all I see. Just keep changing so things get all fouled up. I read the changes 3 times, don't like them. Go back to the way it was several years ago.

Alex Heilman

Sioux Falls SD

alexheilman31@gmail.com

Comment:

This is worse than the first proposal that I opposed, if you want to have the opportunity including a once or twice a in a lifetime hunt like Custer state park is ridiculous. Your essentially throwing away one choice every year. If you like to apply for every tag like I do. The current system is the best system and allows for the most opportunities to residents.

Al Shea
Rock Springs Wl
Wisheas@gmail.com

Comment:

I am an OOS hunter who has bow hunted in your wonderful state for many years. I appreciate the outreach you have done to keep all of your customers informed of proposals for changing the draw. However, it is never obvious to me if you are talking only about rifle hunting, or if the changes include bow hunting as well. I strongly recommend you start every update with a clear statement that the changes effect rifle hunting for deer only.

Thanks!

Trever Marquardt
Harrisburg SD
Tgm5309@gmail.com

Comment:

If it's not broke don't fix it.

Brad Bond
Rapid City SD
Bondbassmaster@gmail.com

Comment:

Sign it!

Mark Lottis
Gold Beach OR
info@5starcharters.com

Comment:

would still like to see consideration for non resident land owners for tags to hunt on there land only . with so many draws before a non resident can even apply, makes almost impossible to be able to hunt on your own land. thank you

Joseph Gregory
Rapid City SD
mickey@q.com

Comment:

NO ONE PERSON SHOULD BE ALLOWED MORE THAN ONE ANTLERED DEER LICENSE PER YEAR. UNDER THIS LATEST PROPOSAL SOME COULD GET TWO ANTLERED LICENSES WHILE OTHERS SIT HOME WITH NONE. THIS IS TOTALLY UNFAIR. NO ONE, NO ONE, NO ONE PERSON SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO HAVE MORE THAN ONE ANTLERED LICENSE PER YEAR PERIOD!!!!!!!

Jim McCullough

Osseo MN

jimmccullough123@gmail.com

Comment:

I hope that any upcoming changes will still allow a good chance for non resident hunters like myself a chance to harvest antlerless deer. Interest in antlerless deer harvest is starting to grow more accepted and if the State wants to protect bucks for the residents I am ok with that. But if few residents apply for buck tags in a selected area, then non residents should get a crack after a few drawing. From what I read- it seems that resident hunters may be able to possess up to 11 tags before non residents folks will be open to apply? If true, this seems way out of bounds and prone to unethical hunting and possibly the trading or selling of extra tags? To me- there seems to be a risk that commercial operations may benefit most from such a change or resident heavy allowcation? I cherish being able to harvest a deer late in the season and to tie it into a pheasant hunt. With a recent increase in the deer herd where I hunt (Northern Brown Co.) I sure hope commercial hunting does not start to dominate policy here. There seems to be plenty to go around and with non residents numbers going down, why start to restrict non resident numbers if the goal is herd managment and fair opportunity. There was little to no hunting pressure when I went the last weekend of the season. Perhaps if needed, limit non residents for the initial opening weekend but then open it up more 3-4 days after- if there is a current problem to deal with... Just not sure it is such a big problem currently? Is there widespread pressure to change the current system or just a smaller minority of very vocal residents? I will never hire a guide or go to a commercial ranch if that becomes the case and will look to move my deer and perhaps pheasant hunting to other states- if we start to get severely restricted! Thanks for the opportunity to express my opinion!

Jim McCullough

Vernon League

Platte SD

vjleague@midstatesd.net

Comment:

you do not need more one licenses in one unit

Donna Bares

Sturgis SD

jbbares@rushmore.com

Comment:

I find it ridiculous that anyone would want/need 11 tags especially for one season.
I feel that no one should have more than a total of 5 tags in any one year no matter where or how they hunt

Ron Freeman

Mitchell SD

ron.freeman@uj.s.state.sd.us

Comment:

On the surface this seems complicated and not nearly as easy as the old system.

Larry Dempsey
Rapid City SD

Comment:

The proposal is being made far more difficult to understand than the current process. Keeping it simple for everyone to understand is better.

Tom Bielmaier
Rapid City SD
tom.bielmaier@rcgov.org

Comment:

If I can apply for two licenses in the first draw, what was the point of changing the system? I attended the Public presentations and left thinking you would have to apply for 1 season that you really wanted. I walked in with a chip on my shoulder, I walked out liking what I heard. This is not what I heard. Perhaps I misunderstood. I realize that no decision had been made at that time, but the presenter was selling us on a plan that was not this one.

Kelly Mcphillips
Yankton SD
kellymcphillips@hotmail.com

Comment:

this new alternative should make the new process palatable to most. unfortunately, the only thing that will cure the mathematical ailings of our big game drawing system is to eliminate the preference system.

Gregg Yonkovich
Aberdeen SD
gjyonkovich1@mmm.com

Comment:

Can applicants purchase preference points for more than two seasons during the first draw? Example: don't want a deer tag this year, but want to improve odds for drawing tag in future years.

Ryley Thill
Johnstown CO
ryley_thill@hotmail.com

Comment:

Seems as though you guys finally listened on a somewhat realistic proposal, so thank you. I was wondering if you have ever considered any type of program for prior residents? Maybe either a discounted rate or better yet, a different draw class for prior residents who are now non residents? I was thinking if you were a 20 year resident of the state of South Dakota you would qualify for this consideration. Just a thought considering if you were there that long, you probably have family still there so it would be nice to have a little better opportunity to hunt with them sooner than in my case has been every 7-going on 10 years now

Doug Baltzer

Mitchell SD

douglanda_b@centurylink.net

Comment:

To complicated, to many drawings. By the time you get to the third and forth drawings all that will be left are areas that no one is interested in and antlerless tags in areas with no access.

Paul Kruse

Brookings SD

murphykruse@gmail.com

Comment:

No, there is nothing wrong with the current draw that we currently have!! Why are you continuing to try and change it. Absolutely no changes needed!

Matthew Christopherson

Mitchell SD

mattcarter1421@gmail.com

Comment:

It's not broke and your second new proposal still is bad

Brian Severson

Canton SD

Bpseverson@hotmail.com

Comment:

I don't believe muzzleloader and refuge deer should be included in the new draw system. They are special late seasons. If a guy is too apply for east and west river deer by the time he gets to apply again the muzzleloader buck tags will be gone. Muzzleloader is not a guaranteed hunting season due to weather in South Dakota.

Craig Holden

Pierre SD

craig.holden@state.sd.us

Comment:

IF in the first draw a resident applies for a preference point only for one area, would that resident still be able to apply for 2 tags to use that year (say, pref pt for special buck, then apply for East & West River tags)?

Justin Mettler
Sioux Falls SD
Mettler18@hotmail.com

Comment:

Just leave it alone it's gonna mess everything up for a good 5 years not knowing the draw odds. Current system works good besides for a few counties and tags, but we will have happy people with any drawing. Seems like there is a small percent of people in favor of the change, but somehow we keep getting different proposals coming through so I clearly is just a couple peoples opinions seeming to matter more than the rest. It seems clear there is a different reason other than just higher drawing odds to push this bill through. Sick of all these different changes and dragging youth into this to try and get a this bill past. Let it rest for awhile again and don't keep putting a damper on a great state to hunt in.

Mark Ervasti
Chamberlain SD
servasti@yahoo.com

Comment:

Nobody needs 11 deer tags. Come up with a better proposal or leave it the way it is

Bruce Behm
Plymouth MN
bruceb@quazarcapital.com

Comment:

How can non-resident landowners get preference points for East River deer. Have you considered preference for non-resident landowners that own over 160 acres of land?
Thank you for your consideration.

Kenneth Brown
Sioux Falls SD
Dicksiouxfalls@hotmail.com

Comment:

I oppose the deer drawing proposal

Spike Jorgensen

Tok AK

spikecy@gmail.com

Comment:

Dear Commission and Commissioner

Shot my first deer in SD at age 8 and we virtually lived on pheasant some times of the year.

The trend is to accumulate points so one can hope to hunt some time in their life. So ranch/farms in S. Dakota sell to the rich so they can hunt themselves or ranch and do not allow hunting.

These trends to me are backwards. Game belongs to the state Not just rich actors or uban billionaires.

Every child age 8 to 18 should have first perferance for every species for that 10 years or we will not have any hunters except the rich and elderly to hunt. Eventually none.

This sytem should get every child into the field and streams. It does not even approach it. Although I see you are trying.

Sincerely

Spike

Gerry Anderson

Owatonna MN

grandy74@gmail.com

Comment:

Still unbelievable that a resident can have 11 licenses before most non residents can have 1. We pay local landowners a significant amount for hunting and spend a great deal locally to support local business.

Why not ensure anyone who wants one license gets one before someone gets 11.

Steven Johnke

Garretson SD

skjohnke@yahoo.com

Comment:

Not sure why this continues to get brought up when over 80% of the people who would be affected oppose it. Is there some political reason?

Please leave the drawing as is!!!!

Ronnie Jaenisch

Ashby MN

Rjjaenis@prtcl.com

Comment:

What drawing can a nonresident for east river apply in. The new laws dont tell you. Why can't you leave it alone it works. Or just change for west river.

Ronnie Jaenisch
Ashby MN
Rjjaenis@prtcl.com

Comment:

What drawing can a nonresident for east river apply in. The new laws dont tell you. Why can't you leave it alone it works. Or just change for west river.

Harold Bartsch
Owatonna MN
bartscha@yahoo.com

Comment:

There's no leftover non resident licenses available in the 8% allocation after the first drawing, unless it in reservation zones.

By the fifth drawing the residents have already bought up the unlimited leftover drawings.

Paul Pierson
Belle Fourche SD
pperson@spearfishfp.com

Comment:

I oppose the 2 choice 1st draw option that has most recently been proposed. This still allows hunters 2 tags (ie 1 special buck and a black hills) and another hunter who only hunts Black Hills to not draw a tag. If everyone only gets 1 choice than there will be a greater chance tags remain for 2nd choice options which would be when a hunter should be able to draw a 2nd tag. I support the last version of 1 choice per draw.

Brian Rosa
Beulah MI
BRIANROSA29@HOTMAIL.COM

Comment:

I don't understand the reasoning behind a resident being able to hold 11 licences before resident and non resident tags are pooled when many non residents recurve their one and only tag when the tags are pooled. I have been traveling to South Dakota to deer hunt for roughly 22 years always with my father as a family trip. It makes me sick to my stomach that we might not have an opportunity to continue this tradition while a resident holds 11 licences and essentially taking all of the tags away from non residents. Many many times we haven't been drawn on the first draw and have been fortunate to get our only tag when they are pooled. With this structure I fear that will be a thing of the past. Some of the very best experiences and memory with my family have been made in South Dakota and that is a testament to the quality of management and wildlife in your beautiful state. I hope I am wrong and people will not purchase licenses just to keep other people from getting the opportunity to hunt but I know from experience here in Michigan that people will do just that. Thank you for listening to my comment and thank you for the many great opportunities you have provided me and my family in the south Dakota outdoors. If you want more insight from a non residents point of view I would be willing to talk and help in anyway I can.

Thank you,
Brian Rosa

Stephen Haider
Madison SD

Comment:

oppose

David Fischer
Brandon SD
dbfischer@alliancecom.net

Comment:

The proposal seems very confusing to me. Trying to keep track of all of the different drawing deadlines seems like it would be difficult.

Tom Melick
Sioux Falls SD
tmpayup@sio.midco.net

Comment:

I guess I am neutral on this issue now as long as it is a trial period and not etched in stone. I would also like GF& Parks to do some research on what hunters actually go hunting. I realize you take surveys and get a response from some not all. I've known people that never go hunting even when drawing a tag for a deer. This takes away an opportunity from someone else. I hate to see check in stations like other states but i hate seeing even one opportunity missed for someone.

David Schwantz
Elko New Market MN
p47dman@mchsi.com

Comment:

Are you NUTS???? Try to make it so that no one hunts anymore why don't you. My god in the same paragraph you state the you can apply for 2 licenses and then in the next line you state that you can only apply for 1 license. Never hunt SD again, you have lost 2 customers.

Gary Say
Spearfish SD
garysay@rushmore.com

Comment:

Draw one should have two chances for drawing a preferred license. Allowing the special buck license drawing to draw first and if not successful get two more chances in the first drawing is giving those folks 3 chances for licenses.

Thomas Temple
Burnettsville IN
tcetem@yahoo.com

Comment:

Who ever typed this new set of rules for S. D. should go back to school. This is very confusing and misleading. There needs to be a more competent way of explaining this.

Mark Peterson
Aberdeen SD

Comment:

You are still trying to fix a system that isn't broke because of a vocal minority that believe it is their right to have a buck license every single year. You do not have public support at all for these changes yet still continue down this path. Please stop, start over with a new survey that has much more in depth questions prior to proposing changes of this nature. If the original survey that supposedly generated this effort would have eluded to the proposed changes I am 100% certain you would have lost all support in the survey stage.

Robert Brown
Waconia MN
Llbrown@charter.net

Comment:

After the 4th draw a resident can have 11 tags yet a non resident landowner who manages their land for deer is excluded from a east river tag. Seems a little unfair. North Dakota has non resident landowner tags-so should South Dakota!

Brooks Goeden
Yankton SD
bcgoeden@gmail.com

Comment:

Much better, thank you

Shane Muller
Crooks SD
SHANEMULLER543@GMAIL.COM

Comment:

Keep it the way it was! If the new structure was to pass, I will lose access to the private land I hunt. Landowner oppose this and have told me they will shut down their land for all hunting.

James Chadwick

Sandia TX

jachadwick@gmail.com

Comment:

Has the economic impact on the smaller communities for lodging, meals, groceries, and entertainment been considered? As a former resident and still a land owner in South Dakota, I regularly try and bring my family group hunting in southern Jackson county(if we draw tags). When we are there, we spend a lot of money in the Martin and black hills sections of the state. We are only 6 people and spend a couple of thousand dollars . That's revenue that our community will never recoup. I can't imagine how many hunters will be taking their money to other States that will welcome the financial impact that Hunters bring with them.

Also, I would love to see the landowner qualifications changed. Although we now live and work in another state, we still pay our taxes on our land and some of that take money no doubt is used for GFP programs. Because we currently only get drawn once every 3 or 4 years, the quality of the deer herd is affected by inbreeding and over population. If changes are going to be made, let them be smart changes based not solely on citizenship status but on economic impact as well.

Karen Englehart

Bison SD

karenllew@sdplains.com

Comment:

Are you really proposing a system that will allow one resident hunter to obtain 11 deer tags? Don't you think that is a bit gluttonous? I don't object to two or three deer per hunter but I truly believe that 11 is a bit over the top!

Robert Smith

Jacksonville FL

rleesmith@gmail.com

Comment:

I think the 8% non resident licenses is considerably smaller than most states. You also give non residents that own land no consideration in being able to obtain a license to hunt deer on their own land. You can own 10000 acres of land in SD, pay taxes, hire many employees and contribute to the SD economy, but unless you can draw a non resident license with long odds you can't even hunt a deer own your own land. Doesn't make sense.

Kevin Robinson

Ralph SD

Binson@nddupernet.com

Comment:

Leave it as it is.

Susan Chytka

Burke SD

schytka@gwtc.net

Comment:

Good Evening,

I'm not sure how the people in charge are keeping everything straight with all the changes that are being made to the deer seasons for 2019.

After my husband passed away 5 years ago, I kept our hunting operation going for financial reasons. I live in Gregory Co, which is Unit 30 and has a split season. My hunters come for the first season of deer hunting which is the first weekend of Nov. They are from Michigan and Minnesota and have to put in for vacation time early. They know that the season has always started the first weekend of Nov. With all the changes you are making, please leave these date alone for Unit 30, Gregory Co. West River Deer. I see no reason that it has to be changed.

Sometimes change is good, but sometimes it's best to leave things alone.

Robert Brown

Brainerd SD

Drbob@abcfamilychiro.com

Comment:

A resident can have 11 licenses after the fourth draw yet a non resident landowner who manages their land for deer is excluded from the draw. Not reasonable at all-grossly unfair to the landowner who pays taxes and takes the time, effort and expense to manage for wildlife! North Dakota has non resident landowner tags so should South Dakota. Bad enough to have to fight the trespassers and poachers-then have no chance for a tag-really unfair!!

Tracy Freeseaman

Estelline SD

tracyfreeseaman@hotmail.com

Comment:

I still fee Non-Residents should NOT be included in the first draw!

Jim Gruber

Estelline SD

jgruber148@yahoo.com

Comment:

all i can say is that it looks to me like after all the bickering and time wasted,,,, nothing is changing... the greedy ones who want it all will continue to get their way..and those less fortunate are left in the dust again... get rid of the 50%land owner allocation, it stinks... and i am a land owner. secondly... 1 buck per season per hunter is enough... and if tradition is so important, then i am sure they will not mind hunting does with their extra licenses.. enough of this 5 draws, and up to 6 licenses per person crap..

Dean Sternhagen

Tabor SD

dntsternhagen@hotmail.com

Comment:

Although this is better than the first proposal it still makes no sense! You are penalizing the avid hunter who applies for all the seasons and giving the novice hunter an advantage that probably only applies for one or two seasons a year. It's fair the way it is currently, everyone has an equal chance for each and every season.

Ray Pearce

Spearfish SD

clanhead1@yahoo.com

Comment:

too confusing. make simpler -- i.e. submit for any and all you want, but receive only one license each drawing. if you receive one, you're done. if you receive none, then apply for leftover licenses. repeat as necessary.

Shane Taylor

Rapid City SD

shane.taylor@nm.com

Comment:

I Strongly support the new application proposal for hunting deer in South Dakota. Actually I would support having just one opportunity in the first draw rather than 2. I would also support Archery deer being included in the first draw. this will Create more opportunity for all to draw the desired tag they wish to have.

Brant Sundall

Philip SD

brant@gwtc.net

Comment:

If you're going to allow two first choices you may as well leave the draw as is. This defeats the original intention of allowing more people a better chance of drawing their preferred tag. I hunt only the Black Hills. I'm not there to "kill" a deer. I'm there to hunt deer. Most "double-dippers" are road hunters (I know several). When the Black Hills first went to a draw system there were few applicants. A serious hunter could expect to draw a tag every year. Now that the buck ratio / size is built up these opportunists want a chance at them. By allowing two first choices you're giving the double-dippers a chance for two tags while people who only want to hunt one season could, and at least every other year, probably will, end up with none. Thank you

Rich Sundberg

Alexandria MN

rich@sundbergoutdoors.com

Comment:

The proposed changes to the 2019 deer hunting regulations will not result in better opportunities for resident or non-resident hunters, it's setup in such a way that the quality of deer hunting in SD will be greatly diminished. If I understand the proposed changes correctly, it's possible for a resident hunter to shoot up to 12 or 13 deer - all of which could all be bucks. Allowing this will definitely affect the number and quality of bucks that a hunter will see in the field. No hunter needs to, or should be allowed to shoot more than two bucks in any given year. If a family needs more meat for the freezer, then let them shoot does, which the state is overrun with. I personally lease a large ranch in Lyman County and only three of our hunters were able to draw buck tags in 2018 and only a couple received doe tags. We have far too many does, which need to be thinned out, but we can't since tags for non-resident hunters are near to impossible to draw. If we can't get tags, our rancher will be affected since they rely on our annual lease payment and even worse, the hotels, restaurants and retail establishments will suffer huge losses due to the reduced tourism income that non-residents bring into the state each year. If anything, South Dakota should reconsider allow party hunting, so that hunters will still come to the state to hunt, even if only a couple of hunters in a group draw buck tags. I agree that residents should be able to draw their favorite tags, but within reason and not at levels that will result in severe financial impacts to the state, land owners and business owners. This entire proposals needs to be revamped to ensure that all hunters can continue to enjoy a great experience hunting deer n South Dakota.

Jeff Berg

Sioux Falls SD

jeberg@smithfield.com

Comment:

I have emailed before on this topic. I do not understand the reasoning behind the proposed changes. From what I have learned, there is a majority of hunters that oppose these changes. I am asking you to consider what the majority of hunters want and do not change what has worked for many years. Upsetting South Dakota resident hunters does not make any sense. Changing something just to change does not make any sense and just because it is different does not mean it is better. I have always been proud to say that I am a hunter and fisherman from South Dakota because of our great state which includes everything from our resources to how they are managed. Please do not spoil this with unneeded changes to the deer hunting seasons. Thank you.

Jon Haverly

Sioux Falls SD

haverly@sio.midco.net

Comment:

It appears that someone wasted much too much time on this and is desperately trying to salvage this complication on deer license draws. It is a completely unnecessary change and should be discarded in its entirety.

Justin Pliska

Sioux Falls SD

jjpliska@gmail.com

Comment:

South Dakota is unique in there deer seasons we have a quite a few. Terrain is very diverse, allowing us to have 3 unique rifle seasons black hills, west river, and east river. This doesn't include the state park tags, and refuges. Some tags are harder to get than others. But now that change is on the horizon. I don't agree with the deer proposal, only allowing us to apply for 2 deer tags in the first draw. I am "that guy" that gets 4-5 deer tags a year but I draw a lot of units that are overlooked. I hunt majority of public land, I research, scout this areas months in advance. I look at draw statistics and plan my hunts based on numbers. Number of public land acres, kill percentage, and number of tags given out. I don't just hunt my grandmas back 40. So when I hunt a new county I have already given the state my tag fee. I than go to these small towns and buy food fuel lodging. These small town don't rely on hunting as an economical stand point but it brings in extra revenue. Do I feel bad for someone when they don't draw a tag, sure, it sucks but it's part of them game. I don't just do this in our state I do it in many. To the guys who whine about not getting a tag for 3 years branch our pickup a left over any whitetail tag and go explore new country you don't have to burn any points and you could find a new honey hole. But this society has turned into lazy mode and no one wants to work for anything. I find it very dissappointing that we are going to give into the lazy people and help them better get there back 40 tag which statistically might not even work. Leave this the way it is already no CHANGE. More people oppose this topic than ever before, so LISTEN to us HUNTERS!

Paul Everson

Castlewood SD

Sandra-everson@hotmail.com

Comment:

I do not support a change to current the current system.

Dan Forster

Howell MI

forster870@gmail.com

Comment:

As a former SD resident (1962-1985) and current Non-resident West River Deer hunter since 1991, I am concerned that this new ruling puts non-residents at a significant disadvantage to drawing a license compared to the current system. Currently, the 8% non-resident licenses are always gone after the first drawing. At the 3rd draw all available resident licenses not drawn in 1st & 2nd drawing are available to non-residents. New proposed ruling will not make undrawn resident licenses available to non-residents until the 5th drawing. We have relied many times on drawing a license in the 3rd drawing as usually there are 100+ licenses available in area 41A. Hard to believe any licenses will be left after residents can apply for as many as they want in 4th drawing. This will make it very difficult for a group of 5 of us to get licenses like in the current system. With the significantly reduced licenses available in the last few years, it has become very difficult to draw anyhow. In 41A, the ranchers and we as hunters think the reduction in licenses is not warranted. Whitetail does are over populating and antlerless licenses should be avaialble again, as well as, double lic tags. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

Jeff Lyon

Burke SD

jefflyon25@yahoo.com

Comment:

After following the deer tag debate and remaining silent this is my take. I feel that the initial proposal was as fair as you can ask for. I was surprised and miffed that it wasn't passed. As a Gregory county resident an being 1 of the 3500 that was denied a tag, I'm left wondering?Has common sense and fairness lost out to greed and nearsightedness. Its hard for me to hear that its family tradition to go out west deer hunting when I have to watch the biggest buck I ever seen on my family land. It kinda feels like going to a local steak feed only to be told there out of steaks. While you watch a group of out of area people finish supper then get frozen steaks to go because its "family tradition" to grill steaks at home next weekend. I guess the new proposal is a slight improvement. I'd like to see something in the future that addresses local people a better opportunity to hunt in there back yard and or those that really would like to get that one tag.

Lance Gerth

Brandt SD

lancegerth@outlook.com

Comment:

I think we can all agree that there is no public support for this proposal and this would be a good time for it to go away. The time and money wasted on this would have been better spent somewhere else.

Tony Sieber

Spearfish SD

tonys@golddustdeadwood.com

Comment:

Please continue to add Lawrence County to Unit 2 for Canada Goose season stretching into February for upcoming seasons.

Michael Rogers

Deadwood SD

captainmikerogers@gmail.com

Comment:

Why does the Special Buck Tag have to be included in the new tag Allocation system? It appeases the land owner and has no effect on other hunters nor the application process!
Non resident tags should also be available on the East River, same percentage should be allocated for every unit!

Sean Fulton

Rapid City SD

Fultonphoto@yahoo.com

Comment:

There are too many nonresident archery hunters using our public lands and since the archery season was moved to sept 1 there seems to be even more pressure. Please limit the number of nonresident archery tags on public lands and raise the fees.

Jason Taylor

Fort Pierre SD

Comment:

I would ask that the commission rejects this license allocation proposal and leave it as is currently is. Yes I do think that this new proposal is better than the original proposal and is a compromise, but why not let the new preferences point system work for a couple of years and then gather the data on how the preference point system worked. Until the deer herd comes back to where it was 8 years ago, there will always be hunters that will get turned down 2 years in a row.

Again I oppose any change to the draw system and ask that the commission leaves it as is.

Thanks

Rob Skjonsberg

Ft. Pierre SD

Comment:

I reside in Ft. Pierre and am a landowner in Jones County. I am writing to express my support for the plan coming before the Commission to increase the non-resident deer hunting licenses in South Dakota. While I do not offer commercial deer hunts on my property, I do support the position of the South Dakota Landowner and Outfitters Alliance, as it is an important tool for landowners to be able to enhance their existing ranching and farming operations with non-resident deer hunts.

Farming and ranching is a tough occupation, especially today. On my own property, it's imperative to have multiple revenue streams and the flexibility to exercise those options - just to cover input costs. From my point of view, the current proposal(s) from the SDGFP have placed an undue burden on both landowners and many sportsmen/ women. The current plan will unquestionably result in a reduction of tags that landowners can rely on for non-resident commercial hunting, thus resulting in additional financial harm. This opposition may be one of the few that unites a large number of landowners and sportsmen, alike. Consequently, I believe it's prudent to consider the proposal coming before you in order to find an improved compromise that may better satisfy the competing interests.

Your corrective action is needed to correct the situation. The plan of the South Dakota Landowner and Outfitters Alliance, in concert with SDGFP, will partially mitigate the concerns, provide additional revenue to support resident hunting and habitat, while also avoiding an increased burden on public hunting grounds.

With respect, I encourage you to support an increase in non-resident hunting options on private land in South Dakota.

Douglas Christensen

Ashton SD

suechr@nrctv.com

Comment:

I feel that a person should be able to acquire just 2 licenses, the remainder of the left over license should be left open. The Game and Fish Department is trying to acquire revenue instead of protecting the wild life. I feel 11 licenses is ridiculous, no one needs that many.

Douglas Christensen

Ashton SD

suechr@nrctv.com

Comment:

I feel the Game & Fish Department is looking for revenue from non residents and I feel that non residents should not be able to acquire a license on first drawing as several SD residents do not acquire a license on the first drawing.