



South Dakota State Game Fish Commission

As a life long RESIDENT AND big game hunter IN South Dakota, I am dead against giving Deer tags, Antelope tags AND Turkey tags, to terminal FULL RESIDENTS.

It is hard enough to draw the tags, as it is.

The people that WANT this to happen ARE HUNTERS. They love to hunt, doesn't MAKE ANY difference who pulls the trigger.

IF this goes through they will get to hunt MORE AND MORE.

I HAVE CANCER AND I would NOT ASK FOR A TAG, I would get in the draw like everyone else.

I could say ALOT more, but will stop at this.

Cale ANDERSON
113 Landing Ave
Pierre S.D. 57501

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2015 8:35 AM
To: Comes, Rachel
Subject: FW: GFP Commission Proposes Hunting Allowance for the Terminally Ill

Categories: Commission

From: Rory Binkerd [<mailto:bowhunter1951@aol.com>]
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2015 10:01 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: GFP Commission Proposes Hunting Allowance for the Terminally Ill

You've got my support on this.

Rory Binkerd
Hartford, SD.

Comes, Rachel

From: Cary, Joe
Sent: Thursday, December 24, 2015 8:14 AM
To: Comes, Rachel
Subject: FW: Pheasant

Categories: Commission

-----Original Message-----

From: Joanne Hegg [<mailto:joanne@mitchelltelecom.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 4:40 PM
To: SDGFPINFO
Subject: Pheasant

Sent from my iPad The success of this great states hunting season is NOT due to gov durguard it's the great farmers who allow the hunters on their land. And do not have a season over 4. Weeks long or we will not have a good pheasant population for long. We must use some sense in this wonderful recreation. Let me hear from you now I want your input too. Everything should be in MODERATION.

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2016 8:28 AM
To: Comes, Rachel
Subject: FW: ARSD 41:06:01

Categories: Commission

From: Alan Machmiller [<mailto:alan@nordstromsauto.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 3:47 PM
To: GFP Admin Rules
Subject: ARSD 41:06:01

Hi, I was in attendance in December with Vern Boer and the Outdoor Adventure Foundation. I have a couple of questions related to the proposal.

Re: Application for License (Authorization for Terminally Ill Residents to hunt deer, antelope and turkey)

Was it my understanding that the title actually should read something like "Application for authorization for hunting tag" rather than "application for license"?

I understood there was a potential issue calling it a license as licenses must be sold or paid for?

It is stated in the notice of public hearing, "The authorization would address requests based on each individual's circumstances within the guidelines provided in the new administrative rule."

I am enquiring to what those guidelines will be? Or maybe better asked, have those guidelines been drafted yet?

Alan Machmiller
Nordstrom's Automotive Inc.
Customer Relations/Market development
605-594-4122 direct office #
605-201-7010 Cell phone

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:45 AM
To: Comes, Rachel
Subject: FW: Terminally ill tags

Categories: Commission

-----Original Message-----

From: jason west [mailto:jjw_jjw@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 6:26 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Terminally ill tags

Being Terminally Ill has nothing to do with deer management. Please focus on greater problems instead of trying to fix every special interest project that rolls along. These terminally ill tags look like just one more way to allow to many tags to roll into our already over hunted public lands. What next? Pregnant women tags? Thanks Jason West

Comes, Rachel

From: Miller, LouAnn
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 11:13 AM
To: Comes, Rachel
Subject: FW: Public Hunting Land in SD

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Commission

From: Mike Dylla [<mailto:mgdylla@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 10:57 AM
To: GFP Wild Info
Subject: Public Hunting Land in SD

I want to thank SDGF&P and private landowners for their commitment to making it possible for me, and my family, to harvest wild game in SD. 100% of the deer and 75% of the pheasants we harvested during the 2015 season was on privately owned land that was enrolled with SDGF&P.

West River Deer in Butte Co -- 5 hunters acquired the double whitetail tags, entitling us to 10 deer. We hunted public "walk in only" NE of Newell. We filled 7 tags in 2 1/2 days of hunting. (4 small bucks & 3 small does) All seven deer taken on SDGF&P "walk in only". Was first time any of us hunted west river & we considered it a success.

East River Deer -- we all grew up in Day Co & traditionally hunt Day Co. Only one of us qualified for 2015 Day Co deer. Two of us acquired Brown Co antlerless. One average buck harvested Day (west of Bristol), and two average does in Brown (east of Ferney). Again, all 3 east river deer harvested on SDGF&P "walk in only".

Of the ten deer harvested, one taken to a commercial locker plant for processing. We boned 9 deer, yielding 270# venison. When blended with beef (burger) and pork (smoked ring sausage and smoked snack sticks) we will have over 500# venison products for our families. Note, we hunt for the meat -- not for horns.

1-3-16 Pheasant -- my brother and I have a tradition hunting on the final day of the season (anyone can fill on the opener, but can you fill at the last day of season?). We got 5 pheasants in 3 hrs of hunting, combination of CREPE & "walk in only", between Andover and Groton.

The willingness of landowners to enroll in to SDGF&P programs is critical to us. I encourage SDGF&P to continue promoting the programs that enable us to enjoy the benefits of hunting.

SDGF&P must meet the economic challenges and continue/expand funding the public hunting areas -- it is doubtful that the five of us would purchase licenses, without these programs.

Happy Trails!!
Mike Dylla
Watertown, SD